

THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES
 LITTERA
 SCRIPTA
 MANET
FEDERAL REGISTER
 OF THE UNITED STATES
 1934
 VOLUME 15 NUMBER 119

Washington, Wednesday, June 21, 1950

TITLE 5—ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL

Chapter I—Civil Service Commission

PART 6—EXCEPTIONS FROM THE COMPETITIVE SERVICE

TREASURY DEPARTMENT; UNITED STATES SAVINGS BONDS DIVISION

Under authority of § 6.1 (a) of Executive Order 9830, and at the request of the Treasury Department, § 6.103 (i) (1) is amended as set out below, effective upon publication in the *FEDERAL REGISTER*.

§ 6.103 Treasury Department. . . .

(i) *United States Savings Bonds Division*. (1) NC/PD. Until June 30, 1951, positions of State Director and Deputy State Director.

(R. S. 1753, sec. 2, 22 Stat. 403; 5 U. S. C. 631, 633. E. O. 9830, Feb. 24, 1947, 12 F. R. 1259; 3 CFR, 1947 Supp. E. O. 9973, June 28, 1948, 13 F. R. 3600; 3 CFR, 1948 Supp.)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,
 [SEAL] HARRY B. MITCHELL,
Chairman.

[F. R. Doc. 50-5318; Filed, June 20, 1950; 8:49 a. m.]

PART 6—EXCEPTIONS FROM THE COMPETITIVE SERVICE

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Under authority of § 6.1 (a) of Executive Order 9830, and at the request of the General Services Administration, subparagraphs (3) and (4) of § 6.133 (g) are redesignated as subparagraphs (2) and (3) of § 6.133 (a), effective upon publication in the *FEDERAL REGISTER*. As amended, paragraph (a) of § 6.133 will read as follows:

§ 6.133 *General Services Administration*—(a) *General*. (1) NC/PD. Agents employed in field positions the work of which is financed jointly by General Services Administration and cooperating persons, organizations, or Governmental agencies outside the Federal service.

(2) NC/PD. Temporary field positions concerned with the inspection, inventory, pricing, sale, and shipping of

surplus property at the site of the property.

(3) NC/PD. Custodians, guards, watchmen, laborers, and other employees engaged in the custody, care and preservation of plants, warehouses, shipyards, airfields, and surplus facilities of a similar nature pending disposition of such facilities.

(R. S. 1753, sec. 2, 22 Stat. 403; 5 U. S. C. 631, 633. E. O. 9830, Feb. 24, 1947, 12 F. R. 1259; 3 CFR, 1947 Supp. E. O. 9973, June 28, 1948, 13 F. R. 3600; 3 CFR, 1948 Supp.)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,
 [SEAL] HARRY B. MITCHELL,
Chairman.

[F. R. Doc. 50-5319; Filed, June 20, 1950; 8:49 a. m.]

TITLE 7—AGRICULTURE

Chapter I—Production and Marketing Administration (Standards, Inspections, Marketing Practices), Department of Agriculture

PART 29—TOBACCO INSPECTION

SUBPART D—ORDERS OF DESIGNATION OF TOBACCO MARKETS

DESIGNATING TOBACCO AUCTION MARKETS OF GREENSBORO, N. C., HEMINGWAY, S. C., SYLVESTER, GA., AND WINDSOR, N. C.

Upon referenda conducted, pursuant to prior notice (15 F. R. 1693), during the period April 13, 1950–April 15, 1950, both dates inclusive, among tobacco growers, who, during the 1949–50 marketing season, sold tobacco at auction on the market at Greensboro, North Carolina, on the market at Hemingway, South Carolina, on the market at Sylvester, Georgia, and on the market at Windsor, North Carolina, respectively, it is found that more than two-thirds of the growers voting in each such referendum favor the designation of each such market under section 5 of the Tobacco Inspection Act (7 U. S. C. 511 et seq.) for the free and mandatory inspection and certification of tobacco sold on each such market. Therefore, pursuant to the authority vested in the Secretary of Agriculture,

(Continued on next page)

CONTENTS

	Page
Agriculture Department	
See Production and Marketing Administration.	
Alien Property, Office of	
Notices:	
Vesting orders, etc.:	
Barthel, Herman, et al.	3994
Copyrights of certain German nationals	3993
Golfert, Lottie, et al.	3994
Ichthyol Gesellschaft Cordes, Hermann & Co.	3993
Kurth, Charlotte Amalie	3996
Strauss, Felix	3995
Tangemann, Heinrich	3995
Wiede, Walter B.	3996
Civil Aeronautics Board	
Notices:	
Accident 300 miles east of Melbourne, Fla.; hearing	3988
Proposed rule making:	
Cargo; temporary authorization for scheduled air transportation	3986
Civil Service Commission	
Rules and regulations:	
Competitive service exceptions:	
General Services Administration	3979
Treasury Department	3979
Federal Communications Commission	
Notices:	
Hearings, etc.:	
Jordaphone Corp. of America et al.	3988
Western Union Telegraph Co. et al.	3989
Federal Power Commission	
Notices:	
Hearings, etc.:	
California Electric Power Co.	3989
Montana-Dakota Utilities Co.	3989
Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp.	3989
Federal Security Agency	
Notices:	
Organization and delegations of final authority; agency tort claims procedure	3989
Rules and regulations:	
Tort claims against the Government; miscellaneous amendments	3984



Published daily, except Sundays, Mondays, and days following official Federal holidays, by the Division of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, pursuant to the authority contained in the Federal Register Act, approved July 26, 1935 (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U. S. C., ch. 8B), under regulations prescribed by the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register, approved by the President. Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

The regulatory material appearing herein is keyed to the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published, under 50 titles, pursuant to section 11 of the Federal Register Act, as amended June 19, 1937.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for \$1.50 per month or \$15.00 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies (minimum 15¢) varies in proportion to the size of the issue. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, directly to the Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

1949 Edition

CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS

The following books are now available:

Titles 1-3 (\$2.50)
Title 3, 1949 Supp. (\$1.75)

POCKET SUPPLEMENTS (For Use During 1950)

The following Pocket Supplements are now available:

Title 26: Parts 1-79 (\$0.20)
Parts 80-169 (\$0.25)
Parts 170-182 (\$0.25)
Parts 183-299 (\$0.30)
Title 26: Parts 300 to end; and
Title 27 (\$0.25)

Previously announced: Titles 4-5 (\$0.30); Title 6 (\$1.00); Title 7: Parts 1-209 (\$0.55); Parts 210-899 (\$0.75); Parts 900 to end (\$0.75); Title 8 (\$0.20); Title 9 (\$0.20); Titles 10-13 (\$0.20); Title 14: Parts 1-399 (\$1.50); Parts 400 to end (\$0.30); Title 15 (\$0.40); Title 16 (\$0.25); Title 17 (\$0.20); Title 18 (\$0.20); Title 19 (\$0.20); Title 20 (\$0.20); Title 21 (\$0.30); Titles 22-23 (\$0.25); Title 24 (\$0.55); Title 25 (\$0.20)

Order from Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

RULES AND REGULATIONS

CONTENTS—Continued

Federal Trade Commission	Page
Notices:	
Hearings, etc.:	
Emerson Coat Co., Inc., and Abraham Mink.....	3990
Joelle Coats, Inc., et al.....	3990
Salable Coat Co., Inc., et al.....	3990
Housing Expediter, Office of	
Rules and regulations:	
Rent, controlled; housing and rooms in rooming houses and other establishments in California, Georgia, Indiana, and Ohio.....	3984
Interior Department	
See also Land Management, Bureau of.	
Notices:	
Delegation of authority to Division of Territories and Island Possessions.....	3988
Interstate Commerce Commission	
Notices:	
Applications for relief:	
Cement from Riverton, Va., to New Bern, N. C.....	3990
Logs from Winchester and Stanford, Ky., to Alta Vista, Va.....	3990
Sand, molding, from Tennessee and Mississippi to Swan, Tex.....	3991
Justice Department	
See Alien Property, Office of.	
Land Management, Bureau of	
Notices:	
California; classification orders (2 documents).....	3987
Production and Marketing Administration	
Proposed rule making:	
Grapes, Tokay, in California.....	3986
Potatoes, Irish, in Delaware and certain designated counties in Maryland.....	3985
Raisins produced from raisin variety grapes in California.....	3986
Tobacco inspection; Athens and Sweetwater, Tenn., and Pennington Gap, Va.....	3985
Rules and regulations:	
Greensboro, N. C., Hemingway, S. C., Sylvester, Ga., and Windsor, N. C.; designation as tobacco markets.....	3979
Poultry and domestic rabbits and edible products; forms, instructions, and prerequisites to grading and inspection service.....	3981
Sugar quotas, allotment in Puerto Rico, 1950.....	3982
Securities and Exchange Commission	
Notices:	
Hearings, etc.:	
Cities Service Co. and Toledo Edison Co.....	3991
International Hydro-Electric System.....	3992
Philadelphia Co. et al.....	3991
Veterans' Administration	
Rules and regulations:	
Veterans claims; miscellaneous amendments.....	3983

CODIFICATION GUIDE

A numerical list of the parts of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in this issue. Proposed rules, as opposed to final actions, are identified as such.

Title	Page
Title 5	
Chapter I:	
Part 6 (2 documents).....	3979
Title 7	
Chapter I:	
Part 29.....	3979
Proposed rule.....	3985
Part 70.....	3981
Chapter VIII:	
Part 814.....	3982
Chapter IX:	
Part 901 (proposed).....	3985
Part 951 (proposed).....	3986
Part 989 (proposed).....	3986
Title 14	
Chapter I:	
Part 42 (proposed).....	3986
Part 61 (proposed).....	3986
Title 24	
Chapter VIII:	
Part 825.....	3984
Title 38	
Chapter I:	
Part 3.....	3983
Title 45	
Subtitle A:	
Part 35.....	3984

and for the purposes of said act, the orders of designation of tobacco markets (7 CFR 29.601; 14 F. R. 4514, 5364, 6193) are amended by adding thereto at the end thereof the following paragraph (jj):

§ 29.601 Designation of tobacco markets. * * *

(jj) The tobacco markets of Greensboro, North Carolina, Hemingway, South Carolina, Sylvester, Georgia, and Windsor, North Carolina. Effective 30 days after June 21, 1950, no tobacco of any type shall be offered for sale at auction on the market at Greensboro, North Carolina, on the market at Hemingway, South Carolina, on the market at Sylvester, Georgia, and on the market at Windsor, North Carolina, until such tobacco shall have been inspected and certified by an authorized representative of the U. S. Department of Agriculture according to standards established under the Tobacco Inspection Act (7 U. S. C. 511 et seq.): *Provided, however,* That such requirement of inspection and certification may be suspended at any time when it is found impracticable to provide inspection or when the quantity of tobacco available for inspection is not sufficient to justify the cost of such service.

(Secs. 2, 5, 49 Stat. 731, 732; 7 U. S. C. 511a, 511d)

Issued this 16th day of June 1950.

[SEAL] CHARLES F. BRANNAN,
Secretary of Agriculture.

[F. R. Doc. 50-5353; Filed, June 20, 1950; 8:53 a. m.]

PART 70—GRADING AND INSPECTION OF POULTRY AND DOMESTIC RABBITS AND EDIBLE PRODUCTS THEREOF; UNITED STATES SPECIFICATIONS FOR CLASSES, STANDARDS, AND GRADES WITH RESPECT THERETO

SUBPART D—FORMS, INSTRUCTIONS, AND PRE-REQUISITES TO GRADING AND INSPECTION SERVICE

On May 2, 1950, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the Federal Register (15 F. R. 2465) regarding the approval of a form of application and contract for inspection service on a contract basis.

Whenever any person desires inspection service to be rendered by the Administration at any plant for condition and wholesomeness of dressed poultry and dressed domestic rabbits, pursuant to the applicable regulations (7 CFR, Part 70; 14 F. R. 6835, 7727) of the Department, he may apply for such service by submitting, to the Administrator, a properly completed application (in duplicate) in the form herein set forth. Upon approval of the application by the Administrator, it will become the contract providing for inspection service at such plant. This application and contract form specifies the conditions under which service will be performed (including the extent of the financial obligations to be assumed by the applicant) in accordance with the aforesaid regulations. Such regulations became operative on January 1, 1950, pursuant to the authority contained in the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946 (60 Stat. 1087; 7 U. S. C. 1621 et seq.) and the Department of Agriculture Appropriation Act, 1950 (Pub. Law 146, 81st Cong., 1st Sess., approved June 29, 1949). The fees for the inspection service are so calculated as to provide the revenue necessary for the conduct of the service on an equitable basis and be reasonable and as nearly as may be to cover the cost for service rendered.

After consideration of all relevant matters presented, including the proposals set forth in the said notice, it is hereby ordered that the following form of application and contract shall become effective at the time hereinafter specified:

§ 70.303 *Application for inspection of dressed poultry and dressed domestic rabbits and edible products thereof for condition and wholesomeness.* Application is hereby made, in accordance with the applicable provisions of the regulations (7 CFR, Part 70; 14 F. R. 6835, 7727) governing the grading and inspection of poultry and domestic rabbits and edible products thereof and United States specifications for classes, standards, and grades with respect thereto, for the inspection of products for condition and wholesomeness at the following designated plant:

Name of plant _____
Street address _____
City and State _____

(a) In making this application, the applicant agrees to comply with the terms and conditions of the aforesaid

regulations (including, but not being limited to, such instructions governing inspection of products as may be issued, from time to time, by the Administrator), and the following:

(1) Payment for the full cost of the inspection service covered hereby shall be made by the applicant to the Administration not later than thirty (30) days from date of billing. Such full costs shall comprise such of the following items as may be due and may be included, from time to time, in the invoice or invoices covering the period or periods during which the inspection service may be rendered:

(i) A charge of \$75.00 for the survey (required pursuant to § 70.5 (e) (1) *Initial survey* of the aforesaid regulations) made of the designated plant and its premises (but no charge for the final survey) prior to the performance, by the Administration, of the inspection service covered hereby: *Provided*, That, if at the time of submission of this application for inspection service such designated plant is an official plant in which grading service is performed by the Administration and inspection service was not performed by the Administration in such plant during the twelve-month period ending on the date of this application, the charge for the survey shall be computed in the manner specified in subdivision (ii) of this subparagraph, of this application;

(ii) Charges for each additional survey, if any, made at the request of the applicant to be computed on the basis (a) of the actual cost to the Administration of the travel and per diem in lieu of subsistence incurred in the making of the survey, and (b) a charge of \$3.00 per hour for the time consumed at the plant in making the survey;

(iii) A charge of (a) \$116.00 per 40-hour work week, plus an overtime charge (when applicable) of \$2.90 per hour for each hour in excess of 40 in the work week for each inspector assigned to the designated plant by the Administration for the inspection of dressed poultry or dressed domestic rabbits at the time of evisceration; (b) \$98.00 per 40-hour work week, plus an overtime charge (when applicable) of \$2.45 per hour for each inspector assigned to the designated plant by the Administration for inspection of canning or other processing of poultry food products and dressed domestic rabbit food products; and (c) \$68.00 per 40-hour work week, plus an overtime charge (when applicable) of \$1.70 per hour for each inspector assigned to the designated plant by the Administration for inspection of products for condition: *Provided*, That, with respect to each inspector who is employed by the Administration on a "when actually employed" basis, such charges shall be computed on the appropriate hourly basis: *Provided, further*, That, no charge shall be made hereunder for any inspector during any period of leave approved by the Administration for such inspector;

(iv) A charge at double the applicable hourly rate specified in subdivision

(iii) of this subparagraph for any inspection service performed by an inspector on a designated holiday;

(v) A charge for the actual cost to the Administration of the travel and per diem in lieu of subsistence with respect to each inspector who is assigned to the designated plant but whose travel headquarters is not at the designated plant, such charge to cover the period during which each such inspector is assigned to the designated plant, and travel to and from the designated plant in connection with such assignment;

(vi) A charge at the sole discretion of the Administration, of an amount not in excess of the actual cost to the Administration of the travel (including the cost of movement of household goods and dependents) and per diem in lieu of subsistence with respect to each inspector who is transferred from another official plant to the designated plant, when the inspector's travel headquarters is changed to the designated plant at the time of such transfer;

(vii) A charge for the actual cost to the Administration of the travel and per diem in lieu of subsistence with respect to each inspector who is assigned to the designated plant and whose travel headquarters is at the designated plant, if at the request of the designated plant, such inspector is reassigned temporarily to another official plant, such charge to cover the period during which each such inspector is reassigned to the other plant, and the travel to and from the designated plant in connection with such reassignment;

(viii) A charge is an amount equal to seven (7) percent of the amounts prescribed in subdivisions (iii), (iv), (v), (vi) and (vii) of this subparagraph to cover approximate overhead for administrative and other costs and expenses incurred by the Administration in rendering inspection service pursuant to the aforesaid regulations: *Provided*, That, after the inspection service is inaugurated in the designated plant, the minimum charge for each 4-week billing period shall be \$25.00;

(ix) The applicant shall also, upon receipt of an invoice therefor, at the inauguration of the inspection service pursuant hereto, make an advance payment in an amount to cover the estimated average cost of inspection in the designated plant for a period of four weeks. The advance payment will be applied to the final bill and any balance due the applicant will be refunded on termination of this contract; and

(x) The charges specified in subdivisions (iii), (iv), (v), (vi), (vii) and (viii) of this subparagraph shall be billed at the end of each four-week billing period.

(2) The applicant shall furnish such stenographic and clerical assistance as may be necessary in typing certificates and handling correspondence in connection with the inspection service covered hereby.

(3) Inspectors will be provided by the Administration to perform the inspection service covered hereby. The Administration may, from time to time, assign to the designated plant such ad-

ditional inspectors as it deems necessary in order to perform the inspection service covered hereby, or decrease the number of inspectors when fewer inspectors are needed to perform such service.

(4) Whenever operations at the designated plant are discontinued during any period or periods, any inspector (assigned, as aforesaid, by the Administration to the designated plant) may perform such other services as may be deemed appropriate, and are approved, by the regional supervisor.

(5) The Administration will not be responsible for damages accruing through errors of commission or omission on the part of its inspectors when engaged in rendering service hereunder.

(6) The inspection service herein applied for shall be provided at the designated plant and shall be continued until the service or this contract is suspended, withdrawn, or terminated (i) by mutual consent; (ii) by thirty (30) days' written notice given by either party to the other party specifying the date of suspension, withdrawal, or termination; (iii) pursuant to the aforesaid regulations; (iv) upon one (1) day's written notice by the Administration to the applicant, if the applicant fails to honor any invoice within thirty (30) days after date of invoice covering the costs of the inspection service as herein provided, or if the applicant fails to comply with the terms and conditions hereof.

(b) All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as when used in the aforesaid regulations and instructions.

(c) No member of or delegate to Congress, or Resident Commissioner, shall be admitted to any share or part of this contract or to any benefit that may arise therefrom unless it be made with a Corporation for its general benefit.

(d) Additional conditions:

 By (Applicant) -----

 (Street) -----

 (City) (State) -----

 (Date) -----

Approved:

By -----

 (Title) -----

 (Date) -----

Production and Marketing Administration,
 U. S. Department of Agriculture.

(Sec. 205, 60 Stat. 1090; 7 U. S. C. 1624. Interprets or applies sec. 203, 60 Stat. 1087; Pub. Law 146, 81st Cong.; 7 U. S. C. and Sup., 1622)

Done at Washington, D. C., this 16th day of June 1950, to become effective July 22, 1950.

[SEAL] JOHN I. THOMPSON,
 Assistant Administrator, Production and Marketing Administration.

[F. R. Doc. 50-5352; Filed, June 20, 1950; 2:53 a. m.]

Chapter VIII—Production and Marketing Administration (Sugar Branch), Department of Agriculture

Subchapter B—Sugar Requirements and Quotas [Sugar Reg. 814.3, Amdt. 1]

PART 814—ALLOTMENT OF SUGAR QUOTAS PUERTO RICO, 1950

Basis and purpose. This amendment is issued under section 205 (a) of the Sugar Act of 1948 (hereinafter called the "act") for the purpose of revising § 814.3 (15 F. R. 2400) which allots the 1950 sugar quota for Puerto Rico for consumption in the continental United States (including raw sugar transferred for further processing and shipment within the direct-consumption portion of such quota) and the 1950 sugar quota for local consumption in Puerto Rico among persons (1) whose Puerto Rican raw sugar is brought into the continental United States or who transfer such sugar for further processing and shipment to the continental United States as direct-consumption sugar, and (2) who market sugar for local consumption in Puerto Rico.

The sugar quota for Puerto Rico for consumption in the continental United States is referred to herein as "mainland quota" and allotments thereof are referred to as "mainland allotments." The sugar quota for consumption in Puerto Rico and allotments thereof are referred to respectively as "local quota" and "local allotments".

The allotments established by the initial order were based upon estimates of production of sugar from the 1949-50 crop by each allottee and in order to safeguard against the possibility of a processor exceeding its final allotment, the amount of each such initial allotment which could be marketed prior to September 1, 1950, was limited to 75 percent of such allotment. These estimates of production necessarily were made early in the production season. Because of unforeseen developments affecting production, such as unusually favorable weather in some areas and unusually unfavorable weather in others, these estimates vary considerably from present estimates of production from the 1949-50 crop. The differences between the limitations on marketings now in effect and the prospective final allotments are so great that the existing allotment order no longer assures orderly marketing or an equitable opportunity for all interested persons to market sugar. In view of this situation it is found necessary to amend the allotment order and establish allotments on the basis of the new estimates of the 1950 production. Each allottee has agreed upon the new estimates of its 1950 production and each has waived its right to a public hearing prior to the issuance of a revised order based upon the same allotment formula as the initial order but using these new estimates of its 1950 production. These estimates are as follows:

[Short tons, raw value]

Processor	Proportionate shares ¹
Antonio Roig, Sucesores, S. en C.	44,810
Arturo Lluberias, (estate of) y Sobrinos (San Francisco)	6,537
Asociacion Azucarera Cooperativa (Lafayette)	35,235
Calaf Collazo, Jaime y Federico (Monserate)	27,534
Central Aguirre Sugar Co., a trust	123,278
Central Coloso, Inc.	56,415
Central Eureka, Inc.	35,989
Central Guaman, Inc.	11,133
Central Igualdad, Inc.	45,481
Central Juanita, Inc.	36,921
Central Mercedita, Inc.	80,284
Central San Jose, Inc.	22,526
Central San Vicente, Inc.	52,457
Central Victoria, Inc.	23,693
Compania Azucarera del Camuy, Inc. (Rio Llano)	15,634
Compania Azucarera del Toa	31,641
Cooperativa Azucarera Los Canos	34,993
Corporacion Azucarera Sauri & Subira (Constancia Ponce)	13,092
Eastern Sugar Associates, a trust	150,904
Fajardo Sugar Company	109,541
Land Authority of Puerto Rico	85,267
Mario Mercado e Hijos (Rufina)	32,057
Mayaguez Sugar Company, Inc. (Rochelaise)	12,393
Plata Sugar Company	47,624
Soller Sugar Company	14,918
South Porto Rico Sugar Co. of Puerto Rico	118,279
Subtotal	1,268,639
Valdivieso, Jorge Lucas P. (Pellejas)	0
Total	1,268,639

¹ Estimated 1949-50 crop production.

The greater accuracy of these new estimates warrants easing the limitation on marketings so that 90 percent, instead of 75 percent, of each allotment may be marketed prior to September 1, 1950. Each allottee under this order has agreed to this change.

A number of allottees have already marketed 75 percent of their allotments, hence they are precluded from marketing additional quantities of sugar until this amendment becomes effective. Moreover, a number of allottees will have exceeded their allotments under this amendment if they continue to market pursuant to the allotments and limitations established by the initial allotment order. It is imperative, therefore, that this amendment become effective at the earliest possible date in order to permit continued orderly marketing of sugar and to assure that allottees will not exceed their final allotments of the mainland and local quotas. Accordingly, it is hereby found that compliance with the 30-day effective date requirement of the Administrative Procedure Act (60 Stat. 237) is impracticable and contrary to the public interest and, consequently, this amendment shall be effective when published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Pursuant to the authority vested in the Secretary of Agriculture by section 205 (a) of the act, paragraph (a) and (c) (1) of § 814.3 are hereby amended in the following manner:

1. Paragraph (a) of § 814.3 is hereby revised to read as follows:

§ 814.3 Allotments of 1950 sugar quotas for Puerto Rico—(a) Allotments. The 1950 sugar quota for Puerto Rico for consumption in the continental United States, including raw sugar to be further processed and shipped within the direct-consumption portion of such quota, amounting to 910,000 short tons

of sugar, raw value, and the 1950 sugar quota for local consumption in Puerto Rico, amounting to 105,000 short tons of sugar, raw value, are hereby allotted to the following processors in amounts which appear in columns (1) and (3) opposite their respective names:

[Short tons, raw value]

Processor	Mainland allotment		Local allotment	
	Total (1)	90 percent (2)	Total (3)	90 percent (4)
Antonio Belg. Sucesores, S. en C.	17,725	15,932	19,350	17,415
Arturo Lluberas (estate of) y Sobrinos (San Francisco)	3,775	3,398	1,521	1,369
Asociación Azucarera Cooperativa (Lafayette)	28,031	25,228	768	691
Calaf Collazo, Jaime y Federico (Monserate)	20,541	18,487	1,316	1,184
Central Aguirre Sugar Co., a trust	96,608	86,947	1,995	1,796
Central Coloso, Inc.	43,279	38,951	756	680
Central Eureka, Inc.	27,250	24,525	1,334	1,201
Central Guamaní, Inc.	7,842	7,058	1,062	956
Central Igualdad, Inc.	19,852	17,864	16,522	14,870
Central Juanita, Inc.	29,983	24,285	2,532	2,297
Central Mercedes, Inc.	47,238	42,514	16,504	14,854
Central San José, Inc.	17,830	16,047	24	22
Central San Vicente, Inc.	40,120	36,108	1,693	1,524
Central Victoria, Inc.	18,099	16,829	358	322
Compañía Azucarera del Camuy, Inc. (Rio Llano)	12,462	11,216	181	163
Compañía Azucarera del Tío	28,321	22,789		
Cooperativa Azucarera Los Canos	27,726	24,953	193	174
Corporación Azucarera Sauri & Subria (Constancia Ponce)	8,880	7,992	1,438	1,294
Eastern Sugar Associates, a trust	103,693	93,324	16,725	15,032
Fajardo Sugar Company	88,584	79,726	5	4
Land Authority of Puerto Rico	68,205	61,384	23	21
Mario Mercado e Hijos (Refina)	24,308	21,877	1,359	1,223
Mayaguez Sugar Company, Inc. (Rochelaise)	9,672	8,705	212	191
Piña Sugar Company	36,789	33,110	454	406
Soller Sugar Company	11,714	10,543	24	22
South Porto Rico Sugar Company of Puerto Rico	76,115	68,504	18,601	16,741
Subtotal	900,272	818,346	105,000	94,502
Valdivieso, Jorge Lucas P. (Pellejas)	728	655		
Total	910,000	819,001	105,000	94,502

2. Paragraph (c) (1) of § 814.3 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(c) *Restrictions on marketing.* (1) Prior to September 1, 1950, each processor named in paragraph (a) of this section, together with the producers with whom it shares its allotments under paragraph (b) of this section, is hereby prohibited from bringing into or marketing for entry into the continental United States for consumption therein, or from marketing for local consumption in Puerto Rico, any sugar in excess of 90 percent of the allotments established in paragraph (a) of this section, such quantities being shown in columns 2 and 4 of the table above.

(Sec. 205, 61 Stat. 926; 7 U. S. C. Sup. 1115)

Done at Washington, D. C., this 16th day of June 1950. Witness my hand and the seal of the Department of Agriculture.

[SEAL] CHARLES F. BRANNAN,
Secretary of Agriculture.

[F. R. Doc. 50-5323; Filed, June 20, 1950; 8:49 a. m.]

TITLE 38—PENSIONS, BONUSES, AND VETERANS' RELIEF

Chapter I—Veterans' Administration

PART 3—VETERANS' CLAIMS

MISCELLANEOUS AMENDMENTS

1. Section 3.185 is amended to read as follows:

§ 3.185 *Re-examinations for disability rating purposes.* (a) Re-examina-

tions will be requested in cases in which it is indicated by evidence of record that there has been a material decrease in disability since the last examination and in cases where there is evidence, pertinent to the individual case, that the disability is likely to improve materially in the future.

(b) (1) *Scheduling examinations.* It is required that at least one examination be made by the Veterans' Administration in every case. When a case is initially rated on the records of the service department (§ 3.76), initial Veterans' Administration examination will be scheduled, in convalescent rating cases, in 6 months, otherwise, in 1 year.

(i) Following initial Veterans' Administration examination, re-examination, if in order, will be scheduled as follows except as otherwise provided in the Rating Schedule or Extensions:

Rating 80—100 percent in 2 years.
Rating 40—70 percent in 3 years.
Rating 10—30 percent in 5 years.

(ii) Following any scheduled future or other examination, re-examination, if in order, will be scheduled as follows:

(a) If the disability is increased so as to warrant 10 percent or more increase in the rating, according to the new rating as above.

(b) If the disability is decreased so as to warrant 10 percent or more decrease in the rating, in 2 years, regardless of rating.

(c) If the disability is unchanged so as to warrant continuation of the same percentage rating, 5 years after the date of initial examination or 5 years after the date of the first examination dis-

closing the current percentage of disability.

(iii) Scheduled future re-examinations may be ordered at earlier dates when there is evidence pertinent to the individual case that the disability is likely to improve materially in a shorter period. Where the rating board determines that improvement earlier than the periods above can be expected, appropriate future examination date should be assigned and explanatory statement set forth on the rating decision justifying the action.

(2) *No future examinations will be requested.* In service connected cases under Public, No. 2, 73d Congress, or Public, No. 141, 73d Congress, no re-examination will be scheduled:

(i) When the disability is established as static;

(ii) When the lesions and symptoms are shown by examinations scheduled as above or other examinations and hospital reports to have persisted without material improvement for a period of 5 years or more;

(iii) Where the disability from disease is permanent in character and of such nature that there is no likelihood of improvement;

(iv) In cases of veterans of World War I and other veterans over 55 years of age, except under the most unusual circumstances;

(v) When the rating is a prescribed scheduled minimum rating; and

(vi) Where a combined disability evaluation would not be affected if the future examination should result in reduced evaluation for one or more conditions.

(3) *Completeness of examination.* Examinations for disability rating purposes should be as full and complete as possible under existing circumstances. They should always cover conditions rated codes 6 or 7 without prior examinations by the Veterans Administration. They should include in all instances a brief medical and industrial history bringing the employment record up to date from date of discharge or date of last examination. Except in bedside examinations at the veteran's home, height, weight, general appearance, nutrition, muscular development, carriage, posture, and gait should be reported on at the time of each examination. Examiners should constantly bear in mind that they are communicating, on paper, the results of their examination to other physicians who will generally not see the veteran.

(4) *Examinations in prisoner of war cases.* Rating action which will result in denying monetary benefits will not be accomplished in prisoner of war cases until complete examination by the Veterans' Administration has been obtained. In any case early examinations should be given special attention. Complete examination will be ordered "at once" following rating action resulting in the allowance of monetary benefits (except in cases where such action is accomplished on the basis of service department clinical records as well as report of examination at discharge or on Veterans' Administration examination); whenever the records are considered inadequate for rating purposes;

whenever the veteran complains regarding his initial rating; or whenever he complains regarding adequacy of an initial examination. Such "at once" examinations will be given priority over all others, except emergency cases. (See Veterans' Administration medical procedures.) Examinations and, if necessary, the first re-examination will also be ordered without the requirement of medical evidence in cases where the veteran expresses dissatisfaction with his rating. Neuropsychiatric examination will be accomplished in each case in special reference to manifestations of metabolic origin, neurasthenoid character, or other syndrome consequent to malnutrition, avitaminosis, exposure, or other circumstances under which the veteran was held as a POW. The examiners should feel a special obligation to ascertain and report any causes of reduced efficiency whether or not expressible in formal diagnostic nomenclature. A common complaint is that although weight has been regained, weakness and fatigability continue. This should be reported on as accurately as possible. Retinitis is not uncommon following malnutrition. With history of intestinal disease, or unexplained underweight condition, tests for intestinal parasites should be routine. The existence of any chronic disease which may be associated with the circumstances of imprisonment should be carefully checked and reported on.

(5) *Examinations in psychoneurosis cases.* In these cases the examiner should record the veteran's complaints and subjective symptoms separately from the examiner's objective findings, observations, and analysis of the case. A study of the longitudinal view of the case should be made. The examiner should note any change in behavior pattern attributable to the disease, or their absence, and report on these or their absence. In any case where it has been established that the veteran suffered from a psychoneurosis of combat origin, a change of diagnosis to one reflecting psychopathic personality should not be proposed without full consideration of the veteran's combat experience and effects thereof. Where any veteran with combat experience has manifested symptomatology initially classified as "combat fatigue," "exhaustion," or under any other of a number of special terms, a subsequent reclassification as psychopathic personality should not be made or continued without the same full consideration. By proper direction of his questions, as to time and circumstances of onset, the examiner should ensure that these become a part of the report of examination. In making an examination the examiner should familiarize himself with all earlier diagnoses in the case and assure himself that changes are fully explained and justified. The examiner should endeavor as far as possible to return a correct diagnosis on initial examination by the Veterans Administration, as well as on subsequent examinations. Particular care is necessary when there are combinations of symptoms in part referable to organic diseases and in part referable to psychoneurotic reactions. Conference of examiners is usually advisable under these circumstances to determine

whether one diagnosis may cover all the symptoms or for the allocation of the symptoms to the separate diagnoses.

(c) In non-service-connected cases under Part III, Veterans Regulation 1 (a) (38 U. S. C. ch. 12 note), wherein a permanent total rating may be in effect, or be hereafter granted, based on other than obviously static disabilities, re-examination will be conducted within 30 months of the date the permanent total rating was first granted. However, in the cases of veterans of World War I and other veterans over 55 years of age, re-examination will be requested only under the most unusual circumstances. In other cases further examination will not be requested routinely and will only be accomplished if considered necessary based upon the particular facts of the individual case. In cases in which the permanent total disability is confirmed by re-examination or by the history of the case, or with obviously static disabilities, further re-examinations will not be requested.

2. Section 3.189 is amended to read as follows:

§ 3.189 *Rating of change in diagnosis of diagnostic center.* (a) Where the findings of a field station of the Veterans' Administration differ from the findings of a diagnostic center as to degree in severity of condition within 1 year from the date of the diagnostic center findings, the rating agency of original or appellate jurisdiction will reconcile such difference with the diagnostic center in accordance with current directives. However, upon physical re-examination after lapse of the 1-year period indicated, the rating agency is authorized to rate a case, without such reconciliation, on the degree of severity shown under the 1945 Rating Schedule and regulations.

(b) The rating agency of original or appellate jurisdiction is not authorized to accept at any time for rating purposes the findings of a field office differing with the prior findings of a diagnostic center with respect to the etiology or differential diagnosis of a disability without reconciliation of such difference with the diagnostic center under current directives.

(Sec. 5, 43 Stat. 606, as amended, sec. 2, 46 Stat. 1016, sec. 7, 48 Stat. 9; 38 U. S. C. and Sup. 11a, 426, 707)

This regulation becomes effective June 21, 1950.

[SEAL]

O. W. CLARK,
Deputy Administrator.

[F. R. Doc. 50-5332; Filed, June 20, 1950; 8:50 a. m.]

TITLE 45—PUBLIC WELFARE

Subtitle A—Federal Security Agency, General Administration

PART 35—TORT CLAIMS AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT

MISCELLANEOUS AMENDMENTS

Section 35.3 is amended by striking out the words "Federal Security Agency Claims Board" at the end of such section

and inserting in lieu thereof "the Associate General Counsel, Federal Security Agency."

Sections 35.6, 35.7, 35.8 are amended by striking out the word "Board" and inserting in lieu thereof the word "Officer."

(Sec. 2672, 60 Stat. 963, 28 U. S. C. Sup., 2672)

[SEAL]

ROBERT C. AYERS,
Associate General Counsel.

Approved: June 16, 1950.

JOHN L. THURSTON,
Acting Administrator.

[F. R. Doc. 50-5330; Filed, June 20, 1950; 8:50 a. m.]

TITLE 24—HOUSING AND HOUSING CREDIT

Chapter VIII—Office of Housing Expediter

[Controlled Housing Rent Reg., Amdt. 255]

[Controlled Rooms in Rooming Houses and Other Establishments Rent Reg., Amdt. 252]

PART 825—RENT REGULATIONS UNDER THE HOUSING AND RENT ACT OF 1947, AS AMENDED

CALIFORNIA, GEORGIA, INDIANA AND OHIO

The Controlled Housing Rent Regulation (§§ 825.1 to 825.12) and the Rent Regulation for Controlled Rooms in Rooming Houses and Other Establishments (§§ 825.81 to 825.92) are amended in the following respects:

1. Schedule A, Item 30, is amended to describe the counties in the defense-rental area as follows:

Orange County, except (1) the Cities of Fullerton, Huntington Beach, Laguna Beach, Newport Beach and Orange (2) that portion of Orange County lying south of the south line of Township Six south, Range Eight West, San Bernardino Base and Meridian, and the easterly and westerly prolongation of said south line, and (3) that portion of Orange County beginning at the intersection of the north line of Section 12, Township 5 South, Range 12 West, San Bernardino Base and Meridian with the westerly line of said Orange County; running thence from said point of beginning easterly along Section lines to the northeast corner of Section 9, Township 5 South, Range 11 West, San Bernardino Base and Meridian; thence southerly along section lines to the northerly boundary line of the City of Huntington Beach, thence westerly and southerly along said boundary line of the City of Huntington Beach to the ordinary high tide line of the Pacific Ocean; thence northwesterly along said high tide line to the westerly boundary line of Orange County; thence northeasterly along said boundary line to the point of beginning; including the incorporated City of Seal Beach, and the unincorporated communities of Sunset Beach and Surfside.

Los Angeles County, except Catalina Township and the Cities of Arcadia, Alhambra, Bell, Beverly Hills, Claremont, Compton, Covina, El Monte, El Segundo, Glendale, Hermosa Beach, Huntington Park, Inglewood, La Verne, Long Beach, Lynwood, Manhattan Beach, Maywood, Monrovia, Montebello, Monterey Park, Pasadena, Pomona, Redondo Beach, Santa Monica, Sierra Madre, Signal Hill, South Gate, and South Pasadena.

This decontrols the City of Inglewood in Los Angeles County, California, a por-

tion of the Los Angeles, California, Defense-Rental Area.

2. Schedule A, Item 36, is amended to describe the counties in the defense-rental area as follows:

San Bernardino County, except (i) the Judicial Townships of Amboy, Kelso, Ludlow and Vanderbilt, (ii) that portion of the Judicial Township of Yermo lying north of the Third Standard Line north of San Bernardino Base Line and (iii) the Cities of Ontario and San Bernardino.

This decontrols the City of San Bernardino in San Bernardino County, California, a portion of the San Bernardino, California, Defense-Rental Area.

3. Schedule A, Item 70, is amended to describe the counties in the defense-rental area as follows:

DeKalb County, except the Cities of Decatur and Pine Lake; Clayton and Fulton Counties, except the Cities of College Park, Fairburn, Forest Park, Eastpoint and Hapeville and the Town of Union City; and Cobb County, except the Cities of Marietta and Powder Springs.

This decontrols the City of Powder Springs in Cobb County, Georgia, a portion of the Atlanta, Georgia, Defense-Rental area.

4. Schedule A, Item 106, is amended to describe the counties in the defense-rental area as follows:

Delaware County, except the Towns of Albany, Gaston, Selma and Yorktown; in Howard County, Center Township; and in Madison County, the Townships of Anderson and Lafayette.

This decontrols the Town of Albany in Delaware County, Indiana, a portion of the Anderson, Indiana, Defense-Rental Area.

5. Schedule A, Item 228, is amended to describe the counties in the defense-rental area as follows:

Cuyahoga County, except the Cities of Bedford, Berea and University Heights and the Villages of Bay, Bentleyville, Brecksville, Chagrin Falls, Gates Mills, Highland Heights, Hunting Valley, Independence, Lyndhurst, Moreland Hills, North Olmstead, North Royalton, Orange, Pepper Pike, Valley View, Westlake and West View; and in Lake County

those parts of Kirtland Township included within the corporate limits of the Villages of Waite Hill and Willoughby, and Willoughby Township, except the Village of Wickliffe.

Lake County, other than Willoughby Township and those parts of Kirtland Township included within the corporate limits of the Villages of Waite Hill and Willoughby.

This decontrols the Village of Westlake in Cuyahoga County, Ohio, a portion of the Cleveland, Ohio, Defense-Rental Area.

All decontrols effected by this amendment are based on resolutions submitted in accordance with section 204 (j) (3) of the Housing and Rent Act of 1947, as amended.

(Sec. 204, 61 Stat. 197, as amended; 50 U. S. C. App. Sup. 1894)

This amendment shall be effective June 16, 1950.

Issued this 16th day of June 1950.

ED DUFREE,
Acting Housing Expediter.

[F. R. Doc. 50-5309; Filed, June 20, 1950; 8:48 a. m.]

PROPOSED RULE MAKING

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Production and Marketing Administration

[7 CFR, Part 29]

TOBACCO INSPECTION

ANNOUNCEMENT OF REFERENDA IN CONNECTION WITH PROPOSED DESIGNATION UNDER TOBACCO INSPECTION ACT OF TOBACCO AUCTION MARKETS OF ATHENS AND SWEETWATER, TENN., AND PENNINGTON GAP, VA.

Pursuant to the authority vested in the Secretary of Agriculture by The Tobacco Inspection Act (7 U. S. C. 511 et seq.) and in accordance with the applicable regulations (13 F. R. 9474-9479) issued thereunder by the Secretary, notice is given that (1) a referendum of tobacco growers will be conducted from June 29 through July 1, 1950, to determine whether growers favor the designation of the Athens, Tennessee, tobacco auction market for free and mandatory inspection of tobacco sold thereon, (2) a referendum of tobacco growers will be conducted from June 29 through July 1, 1950, to determine whether growers favor the designation of the Sweetwater, Tennessee, tobacco auction market for free and mandatory inspection of tobacco sold thereon, and (3) a referendum of tobacco growers will be conducted from June 29 through July 1, 1950, to determine whether growers favor the designation of the Pennington Gap, Virginia, tobacco auction market for free and mandatory inspection of tobacco sold thereon.

Growers who sold tobacco on the aforesaid markets during the 1949-50 marketing season shall be eligible to vote in the referendum relevant to the market on which their sales were accomplished. Ballots for use in said referenda will be

mailed to all eligible voters insofar as their names and addresses are known. Eligible voters who do not receive ballots by mail may obtain them as follows: Athens—from the county agent or the office of the county PMA committee at Athens; Sweetwater—from the county agent or the office of the county PMA committee at Madisonville, Tenn., or from the office of the Farmers Burley Cooperative Warehouse at Sweetwater; Pennington Gap—from the county agent or the office of the county PMA committee at Jonesville, Va., or from the office of the Lee Farmers Warehouse at Pennington Gap.

All completed ballots shall be mailed to the Tobacco Branch, Production and Marketing Administration, United States Department of Agriculture, P. O. Box 480, Louisville, Kentucky, and, in order to be counted in said referenda, must be postmarked not later than midnight, July 1, 1950.

Issued this 16th day of June 1950.

[SEAL] CHARLES F. BRANNAN,
Secretary of Agriculture.

[F. R. Doc. 50-5354; Filed, June 20, 1950; 8:53 a. m.]

[7 CFR, Part 901]

[Docket No. AO-207]

HANDLING OF IRISH POTATOES GROWN IN DELAWARE AND CERTAIN DESIGNATED COUNTIES IN MARYLAND

FINDINGS AND DETERMINATIONS ON RESULTS OF REFERENDUM ON PROPOSED MARKETING ORDER

Pursuant to Public Act No. 10, 73d Congress (May 12, 1933) as amended and reenacted and amended by the Agricul-

tural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U. S. C. 601 et seq.; 61 Stat. 202, 707; 62 Stat. 1247; 63 Stat. 1051), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure governing proceedings to formulate marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR, Part 900), a public hearing was held at Salisbury, Maryland on January 18-20, 1950, pursuant to notice thereof which was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (14 F. R. 7527), upon a proposed marketing agreement and a proposed marketing order regulating the handling of potatoes grown in Delaware and Certain Designated Counties in Maryland. The recommended decision (15 F. R. 1797) of the Acting Assistant Administrator, Production and Marketing Administration, and the decision (15 F. R. 2601, 2760) of the Secretary of Agriculture, setting forth a proposed marketing agreement and order as the appropriate and detailed means for effectuating the declared policy of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended, were published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on March 30 and May 5, 1950, respectively. The Secretary also issued an order (15 F. R. 2606) directing that a referendum be conducted among producers of potatoes grown in the State of Delaware and the counties of Worcester, Somerset, Wicomico, Dorchester, Talbot, Caroline, Queen Annes, Kent, and Cecil in the State of Maryland to determine whether the requisite majority of such producers favor the issuance of the proposed marketing order.

It is hereby found and determined, on the basis of the results of the referendum conducted pursuant to the aforesaid referendum order, that the issuance of proposed Marketing Order No. 1, regulating the handling of Irish potatoes grown in Delaware and Certain Designated

nated Counties in Maryland, is not approved or favored by the requisite percentage of producers or of the total volume of production voting in the aforesaid referendum.

It is hereby further determined that the proposed marketing order set forth in the Secretary's decision of May 2, 1950 (15 F. R. 2601, 2760), cannot be made effective because of the failure of producers to approve or favor its issuance by the requisite percentage of producers or of the total volume of production voting in the referendum conducted among such producers.

Done at Washington, D. C., this 16th day of June 1950.

[SEAL] CHARLES F. BRANNAN,
Secretary of Agriculture.

[F. R. Doc. 50-5350; Filed, June 20, 1950;
8:53 a. m.]

[7 CFR, Part 951]

TOKAY GRAPES GROWN IN CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULE MAKING WITH RESPECT TO BUDGET OF EXPENSES AND FIXING OF RATE OF ASSESSMENT FOR 1950- 51 SEASON

Consideration is being given to the following proposals which were submitted by the Industry Committee, established under the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 51, as amended (7 CFR, Part 951), regulating the handling of Tokay grapes grown in the State of California, as the agency to administer the terms and provisions thereof:

(a) That the Secretary of Agriculture find that expenses not to exceed \$36,550.00 are likely to be incurred by said committee during the season beginning April 1, 1950, and ending March 31, 1951, both dates inclusive, for its maintenance and functioning under the aforesaid amended marketing agreement and order; and

(b) That the Secretary of Agriculture fix, as the share of such expenses which each handler who first ships grapes shall pay in accordance with the provisions of the aforesaid amended marketing agreement and order during the aforesaid season, the rate of assessment at \$0.025 per hundred pounds of Tokay grapes shipped by such handler during said season.

All persons who desire to submit written data, views, or arguments for consideration in connection with the aforesaid proposals may do so by mailing the same to the Director, Fruit and Vegetable Branch, Production and Marketing Administration, Room 2077, South Building, Washington 25, D. C., not later than the 10th day after the publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Terms used in the amended marketing agreement and order shall, when used herein, have the same meaning as is given to the respective term in said amended marketing agreement and order.

(48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U. S. C. 601 et seq.; 7 CFR, Part 951)

PROPOSED RULE MAKING

Issued this 15th day of June 1950.

[SEAL] S. R. SMITH,
Director,
Fruit and Vegetable Branch.

[F. R. Doc. 50-5321; Filed, June 20, 1950;
8:49 a. m.]

[7 CFR, Part 989]

HANDLING OF RAISINS PRODUCED FROM RAISIN VARIETY GRAPES GROWN IN CALIFORNIA

STORAGE; RESERVE AND SURPLUS TONNAGE

Findings and determinations. Pursuant to the provisions of Marketing Agreement No. 109 and Order No. 89 (14 F. R. 5136) regulating the handling of raisins produced from raisin variety grapes grown in California (hereinafter referred to as the "order"), effective under the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U. S. C. 601 et seq.), the Raisin Administrative Committee (the administrative agency for operations under the order) has proposed the amendment of the administrative rules and regulations (15 F. R. 108), as hereinafter set forth and submitted the proposal to the Secretary for his approval, as required by § 989.4 (e) (8) of the order.

Prior to the final approval by the Secretary of the proposed amendment of the administrative rules and regulations, consideration will be given to any data, views, or arguments pertaining thereto which are submitted in writing to the Director, Fruit and Vegetable Branch, Production and Marketing Administration, United States Department of Agriculture, Room 2077, South Building, Washington 25, D. C., and received by him not later than 5:30 p. m., e. d. s. t., on the 10th day after the date of the publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, except that if said 10th day after publication should fall on a holiday, Saturday, or Sunday such submission will be considered if received by the Director not later than 5:30 p. m., e. d. s. t., on the next following work day.

The proposed amendment of the administrative rules and regulations is as follows:

Add a new paragraph, (e), at the end of § 989.104 to read as follows:

(e) *Storage.* Each handler shall be entitled to an allowance of one percent for normal and natural shrinkage in weight, while in storage, of reserve or surplus tonnage raisins acquired by him during any crop year prior to May 1 and delivered to the committee, or to any person designated by it, on or after May 1 of that crop year, and to no allowance for such shrinkage as to reserve or surplus tonnage raisins acquired during any crop year after May 1, or acquired and delivered during any crop year prior to May 1.

Issued at Washington, D. C., this 16th day of June 1950.

[SEAL] S. R. SMITH,
Director,
Fruit and Vegetable Branch.

[F. R. Doc. 50-5322; Filed, June 20, 1950;
8:49 a. m.]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[14 CFR, Parts 42, 61]

TEMPORARY AUTHORIZATION FOR SCHED- ULED AIR TRANSPORTATION OF CARGO

NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULE MAKING

Pursuant to authority delegated by the Civil Aeronautics Board to the Bureau of Safety Regulation, notice is hereby given that the Bureau will propose to the Board an extension of the provisions of Special Civil Air Regulation SR-334 until August 1, 1951.

Interested persons may participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted, in duplicate, to the Civil Aeronautics Board, attention Bureau of Safety Regulation, Washington 25, D. C. All communications received prior to July 20, 1950, will be considered by the Board before taking further action on the proposed rule. Copies of such communications will be available after July 24, 1950, for perusal by interested persons at the Docket-Section of the Board, Room 5412, Commerce Building, Washington 25, D. C.

Special Civil Air Regulation SR-334 currently permits air carriers authorized by the Board to engage in scheduled cargo-only service to conduct such operations under the provisions of Part 42 of the Civil Air Regulations. This authorization expires August 1, 1950. In promulgating this regulation the Board indicated that it was considered as a temporary measure pending the development of adequate certification and operation rules for scheduled air transportation of cargo. Consideration is still being given toward what modifications, if any, of Part 42 are necessary in order that that part may be made applicable to such transportation on other than a temporary basis. The Board is also considering whether or not it would be more desirable to promulgate a new part with rules specifically adapted to cargo operations or to include such specific rules in revised Part 40 which is currently under consideration by the Board. Pending a final determination of this matter it is considered desirable to continue the authorization currently in effect.

It is therefore proposed to extend the termination date currently contained in Special Civil Air Regulation SR-334 from August 1, 1950, to August 1, 1951.

This special regulation is proposed under the authority of Title VI of the Civil Aeronautics Act of 1938, as amended.

(Sec. 205 (a), 52 Stat. 984, 49 U. S. C. 425 (a). Interpret or apply secs. 601-610, 52 Stat. 1007-1012, 49 U. S. C. 551-560)

Dated: June 15, 1950 at Washington, D. C.

By the Bureau of Safety Regulation.

[SEAL] JOHN M. CHAMBERLAIN,
Director.

[F. R. Doc. 50-5346; Filed, June 20, 1950;
8:52 a. m.]

NOTICES

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

CALIFORNIA

CLASSIFICATION ORDER

JUNE 2, 1950.

1. Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Director, Bureau of Land Management, by Order No. 319 dated July 19, 1948 (43 CFR 50.451 (b) (3), 13 F. R. 4278), I hereby classify under the Small Tract Act of June 1, 1938 (52 Stat. 609), as amended July 14, 1945 (59 Stat. 467, 43 U. S. C. 682a), as hereinafter indicated, the following described land in the Los Angeles, California, land district, embracing approximately 70 acres.

CALIFORNIA SMALL TRACT CLASSIFICATION No. 221

For lease and sale for homesteads only:

T. 4 N., R. 14 W., S. B. M.,

Sec. 5, Tracts numbered 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, (formerly parts of Lots 2, 3, and 4).

These lands are located in the mountains, between Palmdale and San Fernando, in Los Angeles County, California. They lie south and east of State Highway 6 about 27 miles southwest of Palmdale, along Tick Canyon. The topography is hilly to mountainous and steep, and the elevation ranges from about 2,000 to 2,500 feet above sea level. The vegetation consists of semi-desert shrubs and juniper. Most of these lands are inaccessible, water development will be expensive or impossible over much of the area, and the development of roads and homesteads will generally be an expensive undertaking. There is little possibility of deriving income from the lands, and prospective applicants should consider the area only for residential purposes.

2. As to applications regularly filed prior to 8:30 a. m., March 22, 1946, and are for the type of site for which the land is classified, this order shall become effective upon the date it is signed.

3. As to the land not covered by applications referred to in paragraph 2, this order shall not become effective to permit leasing under the Small Tract Act until 10:00 a. m., August 4, 1950. At that time such land shall, subject to valid existing rights, become subject to application as follows:

(a) Ninety-day preference period for qualified veterans of World War II from 10:00 a. m., August 4, 1950, to close of business on November 2, 1950.

(b) Advance period for veterans' simultaneous filings from 8:30 a. m., March 22, 1946, to 10:00 a. m., August 4, 1950.

4. Any of the land remaining unappropriated shall become subject to application under the Small Tract Act by the public generally, commencing at 10:00 a. m., November 3, 1950.

No. 119—2

(a) Advance period for simultaneous nonpreference filings from 8:30 a. m., March 22, 1946, to 10:00 a. m., November 3, 1950.

5. Applications filed within the periods mentioned in paragraphs 3 (b) and 4 (a) will be treated as simultaneously filed.

A veteran shall accompany his application with a complete photostatic, or other copy (both sides), of his certificate of honorable discharge, or of an official document of his branch of the service which shows clearly his honorable discharge as defined in § 181.36 of Title 43 of the Code of Federal Regulations, or constitutes evidence of other facts upon which the claim for preference is based and which shows clearly the period of service. Other persons claiming credit for service of veterans must furnish like proof in support of their claims. Persons asserting preference rights, through settlement or otherwise, and those having equitable claims, shall accompany their application by duly corroborated statements in support thereof, setting forth in detail all facts relevant to their claims.

6. Tracts numbered 11, 16, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31 and 32 each contain approximately 5 acres and are approximately 330 by 660 feet. Tracts numbered 12, 13, 14 and 15 each contain approximately 2½ acres and are approximately 330 by 330 feet.

7. Preference right leases referred to in paragraph 2 will be issued for the land described in the application irrespective of the direction of the tract, provided the tract conforms to or is made to conform to the area and the dimension specified in paragraph 6.

8. Where only one 5-acre tract in a 10-acre subdivision is embraced in a preference right application, an application for the remaining 5-acre tract extending in the same direction will be accepted in order to fill out the subdivision notwithstanding the direction specified in paragraph 6.

9. Leases will be for a period of five years at an annual rental of \$5.00 payable for the entire lease period in advance of the issuance of the lease. Leases will contain an option to purchase clause at the appraised value of \$10.00 per acre, application for which may be filed at or after the expiration of one year from date the lease is issued.

10. Tracts will be subject to rights-of-way not exceeding 33 feet in width along or near the edges thereof for road purposes and public utilities. Such rights-of-way may be utilized by the Federal Government, or the State, county or municipality in which the tract is situated, or by any agency thereof. The rights-of-way may, in the discretion of the authorized officer of the Bureau of Land Management, be definitely located prior to the issuance of the patent. If not so located, they may be subject to location after patent is issued.

11. All inquiries relating to these lands should be addressed to the Manager, Land Office, Los Angeles, California.

L. T. HOFFMAN,
Regional Administrator.

[F. R. Doc. 50-5328; Filed, June 20, 1950; 8:50 a. m.]

CALIFORNIA

CLASSIFICATION ORDER

JUNE 9, 1950.

1. Pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Director, Bureau of Land Management, by Order No. 319 dated July 19, 1948 (43 CFR 50.451 (b) (3), 13 F. R. 4278), I hereby classify under the Small Tract Act of June 1, 1938 (52 Stat. 609), as amended July 14, 1945 (59 Stat. 467, 43 U. S. C. 682a), as hereinafter indicated, the following described land in the Sacramento, California, land district, embracing approximately 80 acres,

CALIFORNIA SMALL TRACT CLASSIFICATION No. 224

For lease and sale for homesteads only:

T. 26 S., R. 40 E., M. D. M.,
Sec. 32, N½SE¼.

The land is situated in Kern County, California, and adjoins the Town of Ridgecrest. It is in the east central part of what is known as Indian Wells Valley, a portion of the Mojave Desert, and within 2½ miles of the Inyokern Naval Reserve. Water may be obtained by the drilling of wells. The adjacent town of Ridgecrest secures its water supply from a well 400 feet deep.

School facilities are available at Ridgecrest, and all necessary supplies can be obtained from the stores in Ridgecrest and Inyokern.

The land can be reached over the Owens Valley Highway and thence over paved road to Inyokern and Ridgecrest.

2. As to applications regularly filed prior to 1:45 p. m., March 9, 1948, and are for the type of site for which the land is classified, this order shall become effective upon the date it is signed.

3. As to the land not covered by applications referred to in paragraph 2, this order shall not become effective to permit leasing under the Small Tract Act until 10:00 a. m., August 11, 1950. At that time such land shall, subject to valid existing rights, become subject to application as follows:

(a) Ninety-day preference period for qualified veterans of World War II from 10:00 a. m., August 11, 1950, to close of business on November 9, 1950.

(b) Advance period for veterans' simultaneous filings from 1:45 p. m., March 9, 1948, to 10:00 a. m., August 11, 1950.

4. Any of the land remaining unappropriated shall become subject to application under the Small Tract Act by the public generally, commencing at 10:00 a. m., November 10, 1950.

(a) Advance period for simultaneous nonpreference filings from 1:45 p. m., March 9, 1948, to 10:00 a. m., November 10, 1950.

5. Applications filed within the periods mentioned in paragraphs 3 (b) and 4 (a) will be treated as simultaneously filed.

A veteran shall accompany his application with a complete photostatic, or other copy (both sides), of his certificate of honorable discharge, or of an official document of his branch of the service which shows clearly his honorable discharge as defined in § 181.36 of Title 43 of the Code of Federal Regulations, or constitutes evidence of other facts upon which the claim for preference is based and which shows clearly the period of service. Other persons claiming credit for service of veterans must furnish like proof in support of their claims. Persons asserting preference rights, through settlement or otherwise, and those having equitable claims, shall accompany their application by duly corroborated statements in support thereof, setting forth in detail all facts relevant to their claims.

6. All of the land will be leased in tracts of approximately 5 acres, each being approximately 330 by 660 feet, the longer dimension to extend north and south.

7. Preference right leases referred to in paragraph 2 will be issued for the land described in the application irrespective of the direction of the tract, provided the tract conforms to or is made to conform to the area and the dimension specified in paragraph 6.

8. Where only one 5-acre tract in a 10-acre subdivision is embraced in a preference right application, an application for the remaining 5-acre tract extending in the same direction will be accepted in order to fill out the subdivision notwithstanding the direction specified in paragraph 6.

9. Leases will be for a period of five years at an annual rental of \$5.00 payable for the entire lease period in advance of the issuance of the lease. Leases will contain an option to purchase clause at the appraised value of \$10.00 per acre, application for which may be filed at or after the expiration of one year from date the lease is issued.

10. Tracts will be subject to rights-of-way not exceeding 33 feet in width along or near the edges thereof for road purposes and public utilities. Such rights-of-way may be utilized by the Federal Government, or the state, county or municipality in which the tract is situated, or by any agency thereof. The rights-of-way may, in the discretion of the authorized officer of the Bureau of Land Management, be definitely located prior to the issuance of the patent. If not so located, they may be subject to location after patent is issued.

11. All inquiries relating to these lands should be addressed to the Manager, Land Office, Sacramento, California.

L. T. HOFFMAN,
Regional Administrator.

[F. R. Doc. 50-5327; Filed, June 20, 1950; 8:50 a. m.]

Office of the Secretary

[Order 2567]

DIVISION OF TERRITORIES AND ISLAND POSSESSIONS

DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY

JUNE 14, 1950.

SECTION 1. Delegation. The Director and Assistant Director of the Division of Territories and Island Possessions, severally, are authorized to exercise the functions vested in the Secretary of the Interior by Reorganization Plan No. 15 of 1950 (15 F. R. 3176), with respect to the Alaska Public Works Act, approved August 24, 1949 (63 Stat. 627, 48 U. S. C., 486-486j), and the act of December 20, 1944 (58 Stat. 827), entitled "An act to assist in the internal development of the Virgin Islands by the undertaking of useful projects therein, and for other purposes," as amended.

SEC. 2. Redelegation. The Director of the Division of Territories and Island Possessions may, in writing, and to the extent necessary for the expeditious administration of the Alaska and Virgin Islands public works programs, redelegate to any subordinate official or employee of the Division of Territories and Island Possessions the authority granted in section 1 of this order.

SEC. 3. Regulations. The Director of the Division of Territories and Island Possessions shall submit for approval and issuance by the Secretary of the Interior the regulations under which the Alaska and Virgin Islands public works programs are to be administered.

OSCAR L. CHAPMAN,
Secretary of the Interior.

[F. R. Doc. 50-5306; Filed, June 20, 1950; 8:48 a. m.]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket No. SA-214]

ACCIDENT 300 MILES EAST OF MELBOURNE, FLA.

NOTICE OF HEARING

In the matter of investigation of accident involving aircraft of United States Registry N-1248N, which occurred approximately 300 miles east of Melbourne, Florida, on June 5, 1950.

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the Civil Aeronautics Act of 1938, as amended, particularly section 702 of said act, in the above-entitled proceeding that hearing is hereby assigned to be held on June 26, 1950, at 10:00 a. m., A. S. T., in the auditorium, second floor, East wing of school of the Tropical Medicine, 3 Puerto de Tierra, San Juan, Puerto Rico.

Dated at Washington, D. C., June 12, 1950.

[SEAL]

VAN R. O'BRIEN,
Presiding Officer.

[F. R. Doc. 50-5345; Filed, June 20, 1950; 8:51 a. m.]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 9383, 9701]

JORDAPHONE CORP. OF AMERICA ET AL.

ORDER CONTINUING HEARING

In the matter of Jordaphone Corporation of America, et al. complainants, v. American Telephone and Telegraph Company, et al., defendants, Docket No. 9383. In the matter of the use of telephone answering devices in connection with interstate and foreign telephone service, Docket No. 9701.

The Commission having under consideration a petition filed June 13, 1950, by the Acting Chief, Common Carrier Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, requesting a postponement of the hearing in Docket No. 9383 now scheduled to begin on June 19, 1950, and a pleading filed June 13, 1950, on behalf of the American Telephone and Telegraph Company and other Bell System Telephone Companies, defendants in Docket No. 9383 and respondents in Docket No. 9701, opposing the continuance; and

It appearing that by order, dated June 8, 1950, the Commission instituted an investigation on its own motion, pursuant to sections 201, 202, and 403 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, into the use of telephone answering devices in connection with interstate and foreign telephone service (Docket No. 9701), and designated the matter for hearing concurrently with the proceedings in Docket No. 9383. The record thus far made in Docket No. 9383 was made a part of the record in Docket No. 9701, and the Hearing Examiner in the former proceeding was directed to prepare an Initial Decision in the joint proceeding, pursuant to § 1.851 of the Commission's rules and regulations; and

It appearing that additional time will be required by the Commission's staff for preparation in order properly to develop the issues designated for hearing in the above order of June 8, 1950, in Docket No. 9701, and to complete tests being made of certain telephone answering devices at issue in Docket No. 9383; and

It appearing that all parties except the Bell System Companies, defendants in Docket No. 9383 and parties respondent in Docket No. 9701, having consented to the requested continuance, and all parties having agreed to waive the provisions of § 1.745 of the Commission's rules insofar as said section requires that a pleading be on file for 4 days before it may be acted upon, and good cause having been shown for the requested continuance;

It is ordered, This the 14th day of June 1950, that the above-entitled proceeding in Dockets Nos. 9383 and 9701, now scheduled to be heard on June 19, 1950, are continued to October 2, 1950, at 10:00 a. m. in the offices of the Commission at Washington, D. C.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
T. J. SLOWIE,
Secretary.

[SEAL]

[F. R. Doc. 50-5337; Filed, June 20, 1950; 8:50 a. m.]

[Docket No. 9539]

WESTERN UNION TELEGRAPH CO. ET AL.

ORDER CONTINUING HEARING

In the matter of The Western Union Telegraph Company, and American Telephone and Telegraph Company, et al., Docket No. 9539; establishment of physical connections and through routes and charges applicable thereto, pursuant to section 201 (a) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, with respect to intercity video transmission service.

The Commission having under consideration a petition filed June 14, 1950, by the Acting Chief, Common Carrier Bureau, requesting a postponement to June 20, 1950, at 2:00 p. m., of the hearing in the above-entitled proceeding, adjourned June 9, 1950, to be resumed on June 15, 1950; and

It appearing, that counsel for all parties to the proceeding have agreed to the requested postponement of hearing;

It is ordered, This 14th day of June 1950, that said petition be granted and the hearing, now scheduled for June 15, 1950, be, and it is hereby, continued to June 20, 1950, at 2:00 p. m. in Washington, D. C.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

[SEAL] T. J. SLOWIE,
Secretary.[F. R. Doc. 50-5338; Filed, June 20, 1950;
8:50 a. m.]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket No. G-1406]

MONTANA-DAKOTA UTILITIES CO.

NOTICE OF APPLICATION

JUNE 15, 1950.

Take notice that Montana-Dakota Utilities Co. (Applicant), a Delaware Corporation, with office at Minneapolis, Minnesota, filed on June 5, 1950, an application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, as amended, authorizing Applicant to construct and operate radio facilities, consisting of base stations and mobile units of varying frequencies and capacities, to provide intercommunication along the route of the pipeline which was authorized by the Commission in Docket No. G-1229.

Applicant states that the proposed facilities are necessary for the operation and maintenance of the pipeline authorized in Docket No. G-1229. The area in which the new pipeline will be constructed is very sparsely settled and very few line communications exist.

The estimated over-all capital cost of the proposed facilities is \$82,478.44 which will be paid from the construction funds available for the pipeline construction authorized in Docket No. G-1229.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D. C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before the 5th day of July 1950. The

application is on file with the Commission for public inspection.

[SEAL]

LEON M. FUQUAY,
Secretary.[F. R. Doc. 50-5308; Filed, June 20, 1950;
8:48 a. m.]

[Docket No. G-1411]

TRANSCONTINENTAL GAS PIPE LINE CORP.

NOTICE OF APPLICATION

JUNE 15, 1950.

Take notice that on June 6, 1950, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Applicant), a Delaware corporation, with its principal office in Houston, Texas, filed an application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity, pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, as amended, seeking authorization to install 4,800 horsepower of compressor capacity in each of Stations 6, 8, 9, 11 and 12; to install 3,200 horsepower of compressor capacity in Station 13; install 2,400 horsepower of compressor capacity in Stations 4 and 14 and to install 1,600 horsepower of compressor capacity in Station 15; making a total of 33,600 additional horsepower. Applicant also proposes to install not more than 15 metering stations.

The estimated cost of the aforementioned facilities will approximate \$5,339,400. Applicant, by its present application, in addition to the installation of the additional compressor capacity above described, seeks authority to sell and deliver to Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc., an amount not exceeding 5,000,000 cubic feet of natural gas per day during the first year of service, an amount not exceeding 11,000,000 cubic feet per day during the second year of service, and an amount not exceeding 22,000,000 cubic feet per day thereafter; to sell and deliver to Public Service Company of North Carolina an amount not exceeding 2,500,000 cubic feet of natural gas per day during the first year of service; an amount not exceeding 5,500,000 cubic feet per day during the second year of service; and an amount not exceeding 11,000,000 cubic feet per day thereafter, and to sell and deliver quantities of natural gas to Duke Power Company on an interruptible basis. Both the Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc., and the Public Service Company of North Carolina, Inc., propose to distribute natural gas in numerous cities in North and South Carolina, and have filed application so to do, in Docket Nos. G-1413 and G-1407, respectively.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D. C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 and 1.10), on or before the 5th day of July 1950. The application is on file with the Commission for public inspection.

[SEAL]

LEON M. FUQUAY,
Secretary.[F. R. Doc. 50-5316; Filed, June 20, 1950;
8:49 a. m.]

[Project No. 344]

CALIFORNIA ELECTRIC POWER CO.

NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR AMENDMENT OF LICENSE (MAJOR)

JUNE 15, 1950.

Public notice is hereby given that California Electric Power Company, of Riverside, California, has filed application under the Federal Power Act (16 U. S. C. 791a-825r) for amendment of the license for its water-power Project No. 344, located on San Geronio River and tributaries of Whitewater River in Riverside and San Bernardino Counties, California, to include therein an access road approximately one-half mile long extending from a point on the existing road to Raywood Flat, known as U. S. Forest Service Project 0101, westerly to No. 1 Forebay Tank.

Any protest against the approval of this application or request for hearing thereon, with the reasons for such protest or request and the name and address of the party or parties so protesting or requesting, should be submitted before July 24, 1950, to the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D. C.

[SEAL]

LEON M. FUQUAY,
Secretary.[F. R. Doc. 50-5317; Filed, June 20, 1950;
8:49 a. m.]

FEDERAL SECURITY AGENCY

AGENCY TORT CLAIMS PROCEDURE

ORGANIZATION AND DELEGATIONS OF FINAL AUTHORITY

Section 1.30 is amended to read as follows:

SECTION 1.30 *Agency tort claims procedure.* The Agency Claims Officer is the Associate General Counsel. The Associate General Counsel is authorized as the designee of the Administrator for the purpose to perform the duties and exercise the authority vested in the Administrator by the Tort Claims Procedure (Chap. 171, 28 U. S. C.) and to formulate and prescribe rules, regulations, procedures or instructions for investigating and otherwise handling throughout the Agency claims and situations out of which claims may arise under the procedure, and situations of the character contemplated by the procedure out of which claims or suits by the Government for damage to Government property may arise. Any notice or writing required by sections 2672 and 2675 (b) of 28 U. S. C. to be served on the Agency or on a constituent organization or office thereof may be served on the Associate General Counsel.

Dated: June 15, 1950.

[SEAL]

JOHN L. THURSTON,
Acting Administrator.[F. R. Doc. 50-5329; Filed, June 20, 1950;
8:50 a. m.]

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Docket No. 5749]

JOELLE COATS, INC., ET AL.

ORDER APPOINTING TRIAL EXAMINER AND
FIXING TIME AND PLACE FOR TAKING
TESTIMONY

In the matter of Joelle Coats, Inc., a corporation, and Nat Propos, individually and as president of Joelle Coats, Inc.; William Varacska, Julia Varacska, and Gertrude Obropta, individually and as copartners trading and doing business as Ridgeley Sportswear Manufacturing Company.

This matter being at issue and ready for the taking of testimony and the receipt of evidence, and pursuant to authority vested in the Federal Trade Commission,

It is ordered, That Henry P. Alden, a Trial Examiner of this Commission, be and he hereby is designated and appointed to take testimony and receive evidence in this proceeding and to perform all other duties authorized by law;

It is further ordered, That the taking of testimony and the receipt of evidence begin on Tuesday, June 20, 1950, at ten o'clock in the forenoon of that day (e. d. s. t.), in Room 505, 45 Broadway, New York, New York.

Upon completion of the taking of testimony and receipt of evidence in support of the allegations of the complaint, the Trial Examiner is directed to proceed immediately to take testimony and evidence on behalf of the respondents. The Trial Examiner will then close the taking of testimony and evidence and, after all intervening procedure as required by law, will close the case and make and serve on the parties at issue an initial decision which shall include findings and conclusions, as well as the reasons or basis therefor, upon all the material issues of fact, law, or discretion presented on the record, and an appropriate order; all of which shall become a part of the record in said proceeding.

Issued: June 14, 1950.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] D. C. DANIEL,
Secretary.[F. R. Doc. 50-5347; Filed, June 20, 1950;
8:52 a. m.]

[Docket No. 5760]

SALABLE COAT CO., INC., ET AL.

ORDER APPOINTING TRIAL EXAMINER AND
FIXING TIME AND PLACE FOR TAKING
TESTIMONY

In the matter of Salable Coat Company, Inc., a corporation, and Sol Karesh, Sam Karesh, and Hannah Karesh, individually, and as officers of Salable Coat Company, Inc.

This matter being at issue and ready for the taking of testimony and the receipt of evidence, and pursuant to authority vested in the Federal Trade Commission,

It is ordered, That Henry P. Alden, a Trial Examiner of this Commission, be

and he hereby is designated and appointed to take testimony and receive evidence in this proceeding and to perform all other duties authorized by law;

It is further ordered, That the taking of testimony and the receipt of evidence begin on Thursday, June 22, 1950, at ten o'clock in the forenoon of that day (e. d. s. t.), in Room 505, 45 Broadway, New York, New York.

Upon completion of the taking of testimony and receipt of evidence in support of the allegations of the complaint, the Trial Examiner is directed to proceed immediately to take testimony and evidence on behalf of the respondents. The Trial Examiner will then close the taking of testimony and evidence and, after all intervening procedure as required by law, will close the case and make and serve on the parties at issue an initial decision which shall include findings and conclusions, as well as the reasons or basis therefor, upon all the material issues of fact, law, or discretion presented on the record, and an appropriate order; all of which shall become a part of the record in said proceeding.

Issued: June 14, 1950.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] D. C. DANIEL,
Secretary.[F. R. Doc. 50-5349; Filed, June 20, 1950;
8:52 a. m.]

[Docket No. 5761]

EMERSON COAT CO., INC., AND ABRAHAM
MINKORDER APPOINTING TRIAL EXAMINER AND
FIXING TIME AND PLACE FOR TAKING
TESTIMONY

In the matter of Emerson Coat Company, Inc., a corporation, and Abraham Mink, individually and as an officer of Emerson Coat Company, Inc.

This matter being at issue and ready for the taking of testimony and the receipt of evidence, and pursuant to authority vested in the Federal Trade Commission,

It is ordered, That Henry P. Alden, a Trial Examiner of this Commission, be and he hereby is designated and appointed to take testimony and receive evidence in this proceeding and to perform all other duties authorized by law;

It is further ordered, That the taking of testimony and the receipt of evidence begin on Wednesday, June 21, 1950, at ten o'clock in the forenoon of that day (e. d. s. t.) in Room 505, 45 Broadway, New York, New York.

Upon completion of the taking of testimony and receipt of evidence in support of the allegations of the complaint, the Trial Examiner is directed to proceed immediately to take testimony and evidence on behalf of the respondents. The Trial Examiner will then close the taking of testimony and evidence and, after all intervening procedure as required by law, will close the case and make and serve on the parties at issue an initial decision which shall include

findings and conclusions, as well as the reasons or basis therefor, upon all the material issues of fact, law, or discretion presented on the record, and an appropriate order; all of which shall become a part of the record in said proceeding.

Issued: June 14, 1950.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] D. C. DANIEL,
Secretary.[F. R. Doc. 50-5348; Filed, June 20, 1950;
8:52 a. m.]INTERSTATE COMMERCE
COMMISSION

[4th Sec. Application 25179]

LOGS FROM WINCHESTER AND STANFORD,
KY., TO ALTAVISTA, VA.

APPLICATION FOR RELIEF

JUNE 16, 1950.

The Commission is in receipt of the above-entitled and numbered application for relief from the long-and-short-haul provision of section 4 (1) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

Filed by: R. E. Boyle, Jr., Agent, for and on behalf of the Louisville and Nashville Railroad Company and other carriers named in the application.

Commodities involved: Logs, native wood, in carloads.

From: Winchester and Stanford, Ky.
To: Altavista, Va.

Grounds for relief: Circuitous routes, Schedules filed containing proposed rates: C. A. Spaninger's tariff I. C. C. No. 890, Supp. 159.

Any interested person desiring the Commission to hold a hearing upon such application shall request the Commission in writing so to do within 15 days from the date of this notice. As provided by the general rules of practice of the Commission, Rule 73, persons other than applicants should fairly disclose their interest, and the position they intend to take at the hearing with respect to the application. Otherwise the Commission, in its discretion, may proceed to investigate and determine the matters involved in such application without further or formal hearing. If because of an emergency a grant of temporary relief is found to be necessary before the expiration of the 15-day period, a hearing, upon a request filed within that period, may be held subsequently.

By the Commission, Division 2.

[SEAL] W. P. BARTEL,
Secretary.[F. R. Doc. 50-5313; Filed, June 20, 1950;
8:49 a. m.]

[4th Sec. Application 25180]

CEMENT FROM RIVERTON, VA., TO NEW
BERN, N. C.

APPLICATION FOR RELIEF

JUNE 16, 1950.

The Commission is in receipt of the above-entitled and numbered applica-

tion for relief from the long-and-short-haul provision of section 4 (1) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

Filed by: R. E. Boyle, Jr., agent for and on behalf of the Atlantic and East Carolina Railway Company and other carriers named in the application.

Commodities involved: Cement and related articles, in carloads.

From: Riverton, Va.

To: New Bern, N. C.

Grounds for relief: Circuitous routes. Schedules filed containing proposed rates:

Any interested person desiring the Commission to hold a hearing upon such application shall request the Commission in writing so to do within 15 days from the date of this notice. As provided by the general rules of practice of the Commission, Rule 73, persons other than applicants should fairly disclose their interest, and the position they intend to take at the hearing with respect to the application. Otherwise the Commission, in its discretion, may proceed to investigate and determine the matters involved in such application without further or formal hearing. If because of an emergency a grant of temporary relief is found to be necessary before the expiration of the 15-day period, a hearing, upon a request filed within that period, may be held subsequently.

By the Commission, Division 2.

[SEAL] W. P. BARTEL,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 50-5314; Filed, June 20, 1950;
8:49 a. m.]

[4th Sec. Application 25181]

MOLDING SAND FROM TENNESSEE AND
MISSISSIPPI TO SWAN, TEX.

APPLICATION FOR RELIEF

JUNE 16, 1950.

The Commission is in receipt of the above-entitled and numbered application for relief from the long-and-short-haul provision of section 4 (1) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

Filed by: D. Q. Marsh, agent, for and on behalf of carriers parties to his tariff I. C. C. No. 3736.

Commodities involved: Molding sand, carloads.

From: Points in Tennessee and Mississippi.

To: Swan, Tex.

Grounds for relief: Competition with rail carriers. Circuitous routes.

Schedules filed containing proposed rates: D. Q. Marsh's tariff I. C. C. No. 3736, Supp. No. 133.

Any interested person desiring the Commission to hold a hearing upon such application shall request the Commission in writing so to do within 15 days from the date of this notice. As provided by the general rules of practice of the Commission, Rule 73, persons other than applicants should fairly disclose their interest, and the position

they intend to take at the hearing with respect to the application. Otherwise the Commission, in its discretion, may proceed to investigate and determine the matters involved in such application without further or formal hearing. If because of an emergency a grant of temporary relief is found to be necessary before the expiration of the 15-day period, a hearing, upon a request filed within that period, may be held subsequently.

By the Commission, Division 2.

[SEAL] W. P. BARTEL,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 50-5315; Filed, June 20, 1950;
8:49 a. m.]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 70-2376]

CITIES SERVICE CO. AND TOLEDO EDISON CO.
SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER GRANTING AND PER-
MITTING APPLICATION-DECLARATION TO
BECOME EFFECTIVE

At a regular session of the Securities and Exchange Commission, held at its office in the city of Washington, D. C., on the 13th day of June A. D. 1950.

Cities Service Company ("Cities Service"), a registered holding company, and its public utility subsidiary, The Toledo Edison Company ("Toledo Edison"), having filed a joint application-declaration, and amendments thereto, pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, regarding, inter alia, the sale, at competitive bidding, of 702,075 shares of common stock of Toledo Edison, of which 302,075 shares will be sold by Cities Service and 400,000 shares will be issued and sold by Toledo Edison; and

The Commission having, by order dated June 5, 1950, granted and permitted to become effective said application-declaration, as then amended, subject to the condition, among others, that the proposed sale of the 702,075 shares of common stock of Toledo Edison by Cities Service and Toledo Edison shall not be consummated (a) until a further order of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio expressly authorizing the issuance and sale of said common stock by Toledo Edison has been entered and we have been advised of the entry of such order and (b) until the results of the competitive bidding, pursuant to Rule U-50, have been made a matter of record in this proceeding and a further order shall have been entered by this Commission in the light of the record so completed; and

Cities Service and Toledo Edison having, on June 13, 1950, filed a further amendment to the joint application-declaration setting forth the action taken by them to comply with the requirements of Rule U-50 and stating that, pursuant to the invitation for competitive bids with respect to the common stock, the following bids were received:

Bidder	Price to companies (per share)
Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Beane	\$10.639
Blyth & Co., Inc.	10.565
Smith, Barney & Co. and Collin, Norton & Co.	10.56
Lehman Bros., Harriman Ripley & Co., Inc., Bear, Stearns & Co., and Carl M. Loeb, Rhoades & Co.	10.56
W. C. Langley & Co.	10.4096

The amendment further stating that Cities Service and Toledo Edison have accepted the bid of the underwriting group headed by Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Beane for the common stock, as set forth above, and that the common stock will be offered to the public at a price of \$11.00 per share, resulting in an underwriting spread of \$0.361 per share which is equal to 3.39 percent of the price to the companies and 3.28 percent of the public offering price; and

The Commission having examined said amendment and having considered the record herein and finding no basis for imposing terms and conditions with respect to the price to be received for said common stock and the underwriter's spread; and

The Commission having been advised that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio has entered a supplemental order expressly authorizing the issuance and sale of the 400,000 shares of common stock by Toledo Edison:

It is ordered, That the jurisdiction heretofore reserved with respect to the matters to be determined as a result of competitive bidding for said common stock under Rule U-50 be, and the same hereby is, released, and that the joint application-declaration, as further amended, be, and the same hereby is, granted and permitted to become effective forthwith, subject to the terms and conditions prescribed in Rule U-24 and subject further to the continuance of the jurisdiction heretofore reserved over the payment of fees of counsel for Cities Service and Toledo Edison and the fee of the financial adviser.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 50-5310; Filed, June 20, 1950;
8:48 a. m.]

[File No. 70-2343]

PHILADELPHIA CO. ET AL.

SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER GRANTING APPLICATIONS AND PERMITTING DECLARATIONS TO
BECOME EFFECTIVE

At a regular session of the Securities and Exchange Commission, held at its office in the city of Washington, D. C., on the 15th day of June 1950.

In the matter of Philadelphia Company, Equitable Gas Company, Pittsburgh and West Virginia Gas Company, Kentucky West Virginia Gas Company, File No. 70-2343.

The Commission, by order dated March 14, 1950, having granted and permitted

to become effective joint applications-declarations, as amended, filed pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("act") by Philadelphia Company, a registered holding company and its former subsidiaries Equitable Gas Company, Pittsburgh and West Virginia Gas Company, and Kentucky West Virginia Gas Company pursuant to which, among other things, Equitable Gas Company issued and sold to Philadelphia Company, \$17,500,000 principal amount of Twenty-Year 3½ Percent Sinking Fund Debentures of Equitable Gas Company; and

Applicants-declarants having filed a post-effective amendment to said applications-declarations, as amended, wherein Equitable Gas Company proposes to enter into a First Supplemental Indenture to be dated as of June 1, 1950, with The Farmers Deposit National Bank of Pittsburgh, as Trustee under the Trust Indenture Dated as of March 1, 1950, pursuant to which said Debentures were issued, for the purpose of effecting certain modifications to such Trust Indenture Dated as of March 1, 1950, and Philadelphia Company proposes to consent to the execution of such First Supplemental Indenture; and

The Commission having examined the proposed modifications and finding with respect to said joint applications-declarations, as further amended, that the requirements of the applicable provisions of the act and Rules thereunder are satisfied, and deeming it appropriate in the public interest and in the interest of investors and consumers that the said joint applications-declarations, as further amended, be granted and permitted to become effective forthwith:

It is ordered, Pursuant to Rule U-24 and the applicable provisions of the act, that the said joint applications-declarations, as further amended, be, and the same hereby are, granted and permitted to become effective forthwith, subject to the terms and conditions prescribed in Rule U-24.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 50-5311; Filed, June 20, 1950;
8:48 a. m.]

[File Nos. 59-14, 54-159, 54-160, 54-162,
54-164]

INTERNATIONAL HYDRO-ELECTRIC SYSTEM SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER APPROVING PROPOSALS

At a regular session of the Securities and Exchange Commission, held at its office in the city of Washington, D. C., on the 13th day of June A. D. 1950.

The Commission on December 6, 1949, having issued its Findings, Opinion and Order herein approving for submission to the District Court of the United States for the District of Massachusetts ("enforcement court") Part II of the Second Plan of Bartholemew A. Brickley, Trustee of International Hydro-Electric System ("IHES"), for the liquidation and dissolution of said System, filed pursuant to section 11 (d) of the Public Utility

Holding Company Act of 1935; said Part II as approved providing for the retirement of the outstanding 6 percent Gold Debentures of IHES issued April 1, 1929, and maturing April 1, 1944 ("the debentures"), of which \$15,940,800 principal amount remains unpaid, with funds derived from (a) the sale of enough shares of common stock of Gatineau Power Company ("Gatineau") to yield at least \$5,000,000, and more if said stock can be sold advantageously, (b) a two-year loan, with option for one year renewal, of not over \$10,000,000, and (c) treasury cash—subject to the condition that the Trustee report the results of negotiations for the sale of such stock and for the making of such loan and obtain the approval of the Commission before the consummation thereof; and

The enforcement court on January 26, 1950, having entered an order approving and confirming the Commission's order aforesaid; and

The Trustee, by preliminary report filed on May 26, 1950, and by supplemental reports thereafter, having stated that he had completed his negotiations therefor and that he proposed, upon approval of the Commission, to retire the outstanding debentures of IHES as follows:

1. By making an exchange offer, to become effective on or about June 15, 1950, and to expire on June 26, 1950, whereby the debenture holders may exchange their debentures for Gatineau common stock on the basis of 39 shares of such stock for the unpaid principal amount of \$600 due on each debenture—such offer to be on a "first come, first served" basis, and to be limited to the exchange of not over 600,000 shares of Gatineau common stock;

2. By executing an underwriting agreement dated June 12, 1950, with Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Beane; Kidder, Peabody & Co., and Harriman Ripley & Co., Incorporated, whereby said underwriters are committed to purchase all unsubscribed shares of said Gatineau stock up to 340,000 shares, with an option for thirty days to purchase all unsubscribed shares of the additional 260,000 shares, at the prices and under the terms and conditions stated in said agreement;

3. By borrowing from The Chase National Bank of the City of New York, on a promissory note secured by portfolio assets, to be dated on or before August 1, 1950, to bear interest at the rate of 2½ percent per annum, and to mature two years from date with an option of renewal for one additional year, for such principal amount not exceeding \$10,000,000 as the Trustee may require, in addition to the proceeds from the sale of the Gatineau stock and treasury cash, for the retirement of said debentures—all pursuant to the terms of a loan agreement with said bank dated June 12, 1950;

4. By thereupon discharging for cash all debentures not retired pursuant to said exchange offer, paying the principal amount of \$600 still due on each such debenture, and by further paying interest to August 1, 1950, on all the debentures now outstanding, including those debentures which are retired under the exchange offer; and

Public hearings having been held, after appropriate notice, at which hearings security holders and other interested persons were afforded an opportunity to be heard, and briefs having been filed; and

The Commission having considered the record and having this day issued its supplemental findings and opinion, concluding therein that the aforesaid proposals are fair and equitable and that they are necessary and appropriate for the consummation of Part II of the Trustee's Plan and for the effectuation of the Commission's order under section 11 (b) of the act for the liquidation and dissolution of IHES:

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 11 (d) and other applicable provisions of the act, that the aforesaid proposals be and the same hereby are approved, and that the Trustee be and is hereby authorized and directed to proceed with the execution thereof, subject to the conditions specified in Rule U-24 of the general rules and regulations promulgated under the act.

It is further ordered, That jurisdiction be, and hereby is, reserved to entertain such further proceedings, to make such supplemental findings, to take such further action, and to enter such further order or orders as the Commission may deem necessary or appropriate in these proceedings.

It is further ordered, That jurisdiction be, and hereby is, reserved with respect to the reasonableness of the fees of the exchange agent, the financial adviser, the accountants and the attorneys incurred or to be incurred herein and that jurisdiction as to other fees and expenses be, and hereby is, released.

It is further ordered, That jurisdiction be, and hereby is, reserved with respect to the demand of Chemical Bank & Trust Company, Indenture Trustee, for the payment of interest on certain deferred debenture interest instalments heretofore paid by IHES.

Said Trustee of IHES having requested that the Commission's order approving the aforesaid proposals contain appropriate recitals and specifications conforming to the pertinent requirements of the Internal Revenue Code as amended, including sections 371 and 1808 (f) thereof, and the Commission deeming it appropriate to grant such request,

It is further ordered and recited, That the transactions hereinafter specified and itemized, proposed by the Trustee and herein approved for the effectuation of Part II of the Trustee's Second Plan for the liquidation and dissolution of IHES, are necessary or appropriate to effectuate the provisions of section 11 (b) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935:

(1) The making by the Trustee of the exchange offer to become effective on or about June 15, 1950, and to expire on June 26, 1950, to the debenture holders whereby they may exchange their debentures for Gatineau common stock on the basis of 39 shares of such stock for each debenture in the unpaid principal amount of \$600, such offer to be on a "first come, first served" basis, and to be limited to the exchange of not more

than 600,000 shares of Gattineau common stock; and the consummation of such exchange by the delivery to the debenture holders within 60 days after the expiration of the exchange offer, of the appropriate number of shares of Gattineau common stock for the debentures tendered pursuant to the exchange offer.

(2) The execution by the Trustee of the underwriting agreement dated June 12, 1950, with Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Beane; Kidder, Peabody & Co., and Harriman Ripley & Co., Incorporated, whereby said underwriters are committed to purchase all unsubscribed shares of said Gattineau stock up to 340,000 shares, with an option for thirty days to purchase all unsubscribed shares of the additional 260,000 shares, at the prices and under the terms and conditions stated in said agreement; and the sale by the Trustee to said underwriters, upon the terms stated in said agreement, of the Gattineau common stock to be purchased pursuant to said agreement;

(3) The borrowing by the Trustee, pursuant to the loan agreement with The Chase National Bank of the City of New York dated June 12, 1950, from said bank on a promissory note secured by portfolio assets, to be dated on or before August 1, 1950, to bear interest at the rate of 2½ percent per annum, and to mature two years from date with an option of renewal for one additional year, for such principal amount not exceeding \$10,000,000 as the Trustee may require, in addition to the proceeds from the sale of the Gattineau stock and treasury cash, for the retirement of said debentures;

(4) The discharge not later than August 1, 1950, by the Trustee of all debentures not retained pursuant to the exchange offer, by the application of the proceeds realized from the sale of Gattineau common stock pursuant to the underwriting agreement dated June 12, 1950, and of the proceeds of the loan from The Chase National Bank of the City of New York to the payment of the principal amount of \$600 due on each such debenture.

It is further ordered, The Trustee have requested it and the interest of investors requiring it, that this order be and become effective immediately upon its issuance.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 50-5312; Filed, June 20, 1950;
8:48 a. m.]

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of Alien Property

AUTHORITY: 40 Stat. 411, 55 Stat. 839, Pub. Laws 322, 671, 79th Cong., 80 Stat. 50, 925; 50 U. S. C. and Supp. App. 1, 616; E. O. 9193, July 6, 1942, 3 CFR, Cum. Supp., E. O. 9567, June 8, 1945, 3 CFR, 1945 Supp., E. O. 9788, Oct. 14, 1946, 11 F. R. 11981.

[Vesting Order 14678]

ICHTHYOL GESELLSCHAFT CORDES,
HERMANNI & Co.

In re: Rights and Interests of Ichthyol Gesellschaft Cordes, Hermann & Co.

Company in Trademarks of The Ichthyol Company.

Under the authority of the Trading With the Enemy Act, as amended, Executive Order 9193, as amended, and Executive Order 9788, and pursuant to law, after investigation, it is hereby found:

1. That Ichthyol Gesellschaft Cordes, Hermann & Company, the last known address of which is Hamburg, Germany, is a corporation, partnership, association or other business organization, organized under the laws of Germany, and which has or, on or since the effective date of Executive Order 8389, as amended, has had its principal place of business in Germany, and is a national of a designated enemy country (Germany);

2. That the property described as follows: All right, title and interest of whatsoever kind or nature, including without limitation any residual or reversionary interests, under the statutory or common law of the United States, and of the several states thereof, of Ichthyol Gesellschaft Cordes, Hermann & Company, its successors and assigns, in and to any and all of the goodwill of the business in the United States of The Ichthyol Company, Rahway, New Jersey, and in and to any and all registered and unregistered trademarks and trade names appurtenant to said business, and in and to every license, agreement, privilege, power, and writing or understanding of whatsoever kind or nature arising under or with respect to said business, goodwill, trademarks and trade names, including without limitation the interest created in Ichthyol Gesellschaft Cordes, Hermann & Company, its successors and assigns, by virtue of a certain agreement between it and Merck & Company (Merck & Company, Inc., successor) dated November 29, 1922, relating to the organization and management of said The Ichthyol Company and the manufacture, distribution and sale of certain products under trademarks assigned by said Ichthyol Gesellschaft Cordes, Hermann & Company, to said The Ichthyol Company pursuant to said agreement,

is property within the United States owned or controlled by, payable or deliverable to, held on behalf of or on account of, or owing to, or which is evidence of ownership or control by, the aforesaid national of a designated enemy country (Germany) and is property payable or held with respect to trademarks or rights related thereto to which interests are held by, and such property itself constitutes interests held therein by, the aforesaid national of a designated enemy country (Germany);

and it is hereby determined:

3. That to the extent that the person named in subparagraph 1 hereof is not within a designated enemy country, the national interest of the United States requires that such person be treated as a national of a designated enemy country (Germany).

All determinations and all action required by law, including appropriate consultation and certification, having been made and taken, and, it being deemed necessary in the national interest,

There is hereby vested in the Attorney General of the United States the prop-

erty described above, to be held, used, administered, liquidated, sold or otherwise dealt with in the interest of and for the benefit of the United States.

The terms "national" and "designated enemy country" as used herein shall have the meanings prescribed in section 10 of Executive Order 9193, as amended.

Executed at Washington, D. C., on May 24, 1950.

For the Attorney General.

[SEAL] HAROLD I. BAYNTON,
Acting Director,
Office of Alien Property.

[F. R. Doc. 50-5293; Filed, June 19, 1950;
8:50 a. m.]

[Vesting Order 500A-267]

COPYRIGHTS OF CERTAIN GERMAN NATIONALS

Under the authority of the Trading With the Enemy Act, as amended, Executive Order 9193, as amended, and Executive Order 9788, and pursuant to law, after investigation, it is hereby found:

1. That the persons (including individuals, partnerships, associations, corporations or other business organizations) referred to or named in Column 5 of Exhibit A attached hereto and made a part hereof and whose last known addresses are listed in said Exhibit A as being in a foreign country (the names of which persons are listed (a) in Column 3 of said Exhibit A as the authors of the works, the titles of which are listed in Column 2, and the copyright numbers, if any, of which are listed in Column 1, respectively, of said Exhibit A, and/or (b) in Column 4 of said Exhibit A as the owners of the copyrights, the numbers, if any, of which are listed in Column 1, and covering works the titles of which are listed in Column 2, respectively, of said Exhibit A, and/or (c) in Column 5 of said Exhibit A as others owning or claiming interests in such copyrights) are residents of, or are organized under the laws of, or have their principal places of business in, such foreign country and are nationals thereof;

2. That all right, title, interest and claim of whatsoever kind or nature, under the statutory and common law of the United States and of the several States thereof, of the persons referred to in Column 5 of said Exhibit A, and also of all other persons (including individuals, partnerships, associations, corporations or other business organizations), whether or not named elsewhere in this Order including said Exhibit A, who are residents of, or which are organized under the laws of or have their principal places of business in Germany or Japan, and are nationals of such foreign countries, in, to and under the following:

a. The copyrights, if any, described in said Exhibit A.

b. Every copyright, claim of copyright and right to copyright in the works described in said Exhibit A and in every issue, edition, publication, republication, translation, arrangement, dramatization and revision thereof, in whole or in part,

of whatsoever kind or nature, and of all other works designated by the titles therein set forth, whether or not filed with the Register of Copyrights or otherwise asserted, and whether or not specifically designated by copyright number.

c. Every license, agreement, privilege, power and right of whatsoever nature arising under or with respect to the foregoing.

d. All monies and amounts, and all rights to receive monies and amounts, by way of royalty, share of profits or other emolument, accrued or to accrue, whether arising pursuant to law, contract or otherwise, with respect to the foregoing.

e. All rights of renewal, reversion or reversioning, if any, in the foregoing, and

f. All causes of action accrued or to accrue at law or in equity with respect to the foregoing, including but not limited to the rights to sue for and recover all damages and profits and to request and receive the benefits of all remedies provided by common law or statute for the infringement of any copyright or the violation of any right or the breach of any obligation described in or affecting the foregoing.

is property of, and is property payable or held with respect to copyrights or rights related thereto in which interests are held by, and such property itself constitutes interests held therein by, the aforesaid nationals of foreign countries.

All determinations and all action required by law, including appropriate consultation and certification, having been made and taken, and, it being deemed necessary in the national interest,

There is hereby vested in the Attorney General of the United States the property described in subparagraph 2 hereof, to be held, used, administered, liquidated, sold or otherwise dealt with in the interest of and for the benefit of the United States.

The term "national" as used herein shall have the meaning prescribed in section 10 of Executive Order 9193, as amended.

Executed at Washington, D. C., on May 24, 1950.

For the Attorney General.

[SEAL] HAROLD I. BAYNTON,
Acting Director,
Office of Alien Property.

EXHIBIT A

Column 1 Copyright numbers	Column 2 Title of works	Column 3 Names and last known nationalities of authors	Column 4 Names and last known addresses of owners of copyrights	Column 5 Identified persons whose interests are being vested
Unknown	Differential-geometrie. [Being Band 31 of Teubners Mathematische Leitfaden] (1932).	Ludwig Bieberbach (nationality not established).	B. G. Teubner, Leipzig and Berlin, Germany (nationality German).	Owner.
Do.	Elementar-mathematik von Höheren Standpunkt Aus. Dritte Auflage. Dritter Band. Präzisions- und Approximations-mathematik (ausgearbeitet von C. H. Müller), für den Druck fertig gemacht und mit Zusätzen versehen von Fr. Seyfarth Copyrighted 1928. (Die Grundvorlesungen der Mathematischen Wissenschaften in Einzeldarstellungen mit besonderer Berücksichtigung der Anwendungsgebiete. Gemeinsam mit W. Blaschke, M. Born, C. Runge. Herausgegeben von R. Courant, Band XVI, Elementar-mathematik III.).	Felix Klein (nationality not established).	Julius Springer, Berlin, Germany (nationality, German).	Do.

[F. R. Doc. 50-5299; Filed, June 19, 1950; 8:50 a. m.]

[Vesting Order 14705]

HERMAN BARTHEL ET AL.

In re: Interest in real property owned by Herman Barthel, Otto Barthel, Oscar Barthel and Lena Ehrhardt. File D-28-11677; E. T. sec. 15881.

Under the authority of the Trading With the Enemy Act, as amended, Executive Order 9193, as amended, and Executive Order 9788, and pursuant to law, after investigation, it is hereby found:

1. That Herman Barthel, Otto Barthel, Oscar Barthel and Lena Ehrhardt, each of whose last known address is Germany, are residents of Germany and nationals of a designated enemy country (Germany);

2. That the property described as follows: An undivided one-fifth ($\frac{1}{5}$) interest in real property situated in Sarpy

County, State of Nebraska, particularly described as Lot 8, Block 7, in the Village of Springfield, Sarpy County, State of Nebraska, together with all hereditaments, fixtures, improvements, and appurtenances thereto, and any and all claims for rents, refunds, benefits or other payments arising from the ownership of such property,

is property within the United States owned or controlled by, payable or deliverable to, held on behalf of or on account of, or owing to, or which is evidence of ownership or control by, the aforesaid nationals of a designated enemy country (Germany);

and it is hereby determined:

3. That to the extent that the persons named in subparagraph 1 hereof, are not within a designated enemy country, the

national interest of the United States requires that such persons be treated as nationals of a designated enemy country (Germany).

All determinations and all action required by law, including appropriate consultation and certification, having been made and taken, and, it being deemed necessary in the national interest,

There is hereby vested in the Attorney General of the United States the property described in subparagraph 2 hereof, subject to a tenancy for life in favor of Albert Gapsch under the Homestead Act of the State of Nebraska, recorded liens, encumbrances and other rights of record held by or for persons who are not nationals of designated enemy countries.

All such property so vested to be held, used, administered, liquidated, sold or otherwise dealt with in the interest of and for the benefit of the United States.

The terms "national" and "designated enemy country" as used herein shall have the meanings prescribed in section 10 of Executive Order 9193, as amended.

Executed at Washington, D. C., on June 1, 1950.

For the Attorney General.

[SEAL] HAROLD I. BAYNTON,
Acting Director,
Office of Alien Property.

[F. R. Doc. 50-5339; Filed, June 20, 1950; 8:51 a. m.]

[Vesting Order 14722]

LOTTIE GOLFERT ET AL.

In re: Debt owing to and voting trust certificates and scrip certificates owned by Lottie Golfert and others. D-28-11151.

Under the authority of the Trading With the Enemy Act, as amended, Executive Order 9193, as amended, and Executive Order 9788, and pursuant to law, after investigation, it is hereby found:

1. That the persons whose names and addresses are listed below:

Names and Addresses

Lottie Golfert, Munstereifelerstr. 66, Köln-Sulz, Germany.

Dora Helken, Wesermünde Wulsdorf, Linden Allee 117, Germany.

Henry Horl, Lederstrasse 4, Munich, Germany.

Alma Lohr, Wesermünde Lehe, Goethe St. 43 II, Germany.

Paul Mothes, c/o Herrn Walter Mothes Herlasgruenerstrasse 45, Trauen I. v., Germany.

Erwin Rudolph, Bremerhaven, Schiffer, Str. 55, Seemanns Mission, Germany.

Elsa Stephan, Bockenau b/Kreuznach, Rheinland, Germany.

Carl Hans Stender, c/o Horn, Marthastrasse 50 Pt., Hamburg 19, Germany.

Peter Tiedemann, Wedde Str. 45, I., Hamburg 34, Germany.

are residents of Germany and nationals of a designated enemy country (Germany);

2. That Karl Schmidt and Edith Vintschger, who there is reasonable cause to believe are residents of Germany, are nationals of a designated enemy country (Germany);

3. That the property described as follows:

a. Those certain debts or other obligations owing to the persons whose names are listed below by the Seaboard Trust Company, 95 River Street, Hoboken, New Jersey, in the amounts set forth opposite each such name pursuant to the Decree of the Court of Chancery of New Jersey, dated April 17, 1945, in the case entitled "Edward K. Riemenschneider vs. Seaboard Trust Company":

Names:	Amounts
Lottie Gelfert.....	\$204.72
Dora Helken.....	188.06
Henry Horl.....	85.99
Alma Lohr.....	406.71
Paul Mothes.....	70.62
Erwin Rudolph.....	396.35
Karl Schmidt.....	253.12
Elsa Stephan.....	179.20
Carl Hans Stender.....	112.75
Peter Tiedemann.....	365.22
Edith Vintschger.....	70.00

together with any and all accruals there-to, and any and all rights to demand, enforce and collect the same,

b. All rights, interests and claims in, to and arising out of or under those voting trust certificates described in Exhibit A, attached hereto and by reference made a part hereof, for the number of shares of capital stock of the Seaboard Trust Company, Hoboken, New Jersey, set forth opposite each voting trust certificate number, said shares presently held by the Seaboard Trust Company as Substitute Trustee, and

c. All rights, interests and claims in, to and arising out of or under those certain scrip certificates described in Exhibit B, attached hereto and by reference made a part hereof, representing the fractional interest in a share in the voting trust in capital stock of the Seaboard Trust Company set forth opposite each such certificate number, said certificates issued in bearer form and owned by the persons whose names are listed opposite each such certificate,

is property within the United States owned or controlled by, payable or deliverable to, held on behalf of or on account of, or owing to, or which is evidence of ownership or control by, the aforesaid nationals of a designated enemy country (Germany);

and it is hereby determined:

4. That to the extent that the persons referred to in subparagraphs 1 and 2 hereof are not within a designated enemy country, the national interest of the United States requires that such persons be treated as nationals of a designated enemy country (Germany);

All determinations and all action required by law, including appropriate consultation and certification, having been made and taken, and, it being deemed necessary in the national interest,

There is hereby vested in the Attorney General of the United States the property described above, to be held, used, administered, liquidated, sold or otherwise dealt with in the interest of and for the benefit of the United States.

The terms "national" and "designated enemy country" as used herein shall have

the meanings prescribed in section 10 of Executive Order 9193, as amended.

Executed at Washington, D. C., on June 1, 1950.

For the Attorney General.

[SEAL] HAROLD I. BAYNTON,
Acting Director,
Office of Alien Property.

EXHIBIT A—VOTING TRUST CERTIFICATES

Name of registered owner	Certificate No.	Number of shares represented
Lottie Gelfert.....	VT2008	1
Dora Helken.....	VT2114	1
Alma Lohr.....	VT3158	3
Erwin Rudolph.....	VT4622	3
Karl Schmidt.....	VT4835	1
Elsa Stephan.....	VT5280	1
Peter Tiedemann.....	VT5456	2

EXHIBIT B—SCRIP CERTIFICATES

Scrip certificate No.	Fractional interest	Beneficial owner
S2677.....	1079/1910	Lottie Gelfert.
S3073.....	836/1910	Dora Helken.
S3265.....	1256/1910	Henry Horl.
S4184.....	208/1910	Alma Lohr.
S4921.....	1032/1910	Paul Mothes.
S6074.....	573/1910	Erwin Rudolph.
S6346.....	1036/1910	Karl Schmidt.
S6809.....	707/1910	Elsa Stephan.
S6865.....	1646/1910	Carl Hans Stender.
S7126.....	1512/1910	Peter Tiedemann.
S7389.....	1023/1910	Edith Vintschger.

[F. R. Doc. 50-5340; Filed, June 20, 1950;
8:51 a. m.]

[Vesting Order 14738]

FELIX STRAUSS

In re: Trust under the will of Felix Strauss, deceased. File No. F-28-12366; E. T. sec. 16870.

Under the authority of the Trading With the Enemy Act, as amended, Executive Order 9193, as amended, and Executive Order 9788, and pursuant to law, after investigation, it is hereby found:

1. That Klara Stehle (Stenle) and Mina Winkler (formerly Philomina Strauss), whose last known address is Germany, are residents of Germany and nationals of a designated enemy country (Germany);

2. That the domiciliary personal representatives, heirs, next of kin, legatees and distributees names unknown, of Anna Strauss, deceased, who there is reasonable cause to believe are residents of Germany, are nationals of a designated enemy country (Germany);

3. That all right, title, interest and claim of any kind or character whatsoever of the persons identified in subparagraphs 1 and 2 hereof, and each of them, in and to the trust created under the will of Felix Strauss, deceased, is property payable or deliverable to, or claimed by, the aforesaid nationals of a designated enemy country (Germany);

4. That such property is in the process of administration by The Hartford-Connecticut Trust Company, as substituted trustee, acting under the judicial supervision of the Court of Probate, District of Norwich, Connecticut;

and it is hereby determined:

5. That to the extent that the persons named in subparagraph 1 hereof and the domiciliary personal representatives, heirs, next of kin, legatees and distributees, names unknown, of Anna Strauss, deceased, are not within a designated enemy country, the national interest of the United States requires that such persons be treated as nationals of a designated enemy country (Germany).

All determinations and all action required by law, including appropriate consultation and certification, having been made and taken, and, it being deemed necessary in the national interest,

There is hereby vested in the Attorney General of the United States the property described above, to be held, used, administered, liquidated, sold or otherwise dealt with in the interest of and for the benefit of the United States.

The terms "national" and "designated enemy country" as used herein shall have the meanings prescribed in section 10 of Executive Order 9193, as amended.

Executed at Washington, D. C., on June 9, 1950.

For the Attorney General.

[SEAL] HAROLD I. BAYNTON,
Acting Director,
Office of Alien Property.

[F. R. Doc. 50-5342; Filed, June 20, 1950;
8:51 a. m.]

[Vesting Order 14739]

HEINRICH TANGEMANN

In re: Estate of Heinrich Tangemann, deceased. File No. D-28-12823; E. T. sec. 16993.

Under the authority of the Trading With the Enemy Act, as amended, Executive Order 9193, as amended, and Executive Order 9788, and pursuant to law, after investigation, it is hereby found:

1. That John Tangemann, whose last known address is Germany, is a resident of Germany and a national of a designated enemy country (Germany);

2. That all right, title, interest and claim of any kind or character whatsoever of the person named in subparagraph 1 hereof in and to the sum of \$247.48 paid to the Treasurer of the State of Missouri on July 10, 1947, pursuant to an order of the Probate Court of the City of St. Louis, Missouri, entered in the matter of the estate of Heinrich Tangemann, deceased,

is property within the United States owned or controlled by, payable or deliverable to, held on behalf of, or on account of, or owing to, or which is evidence of ownership or control by, the aforesaid national of a designated enemy country (Germany);

and it is hereby determined:

3. That to the extent that the person named in subparagraph 1 hereof is not within a designated enemy country, the national interest of the United States requires that such person be treated as a national of a designated enemy country (Germany).

All determinations and all action required by law, including appropriate consultation and certification, having been made and taken, and, it being deemed necessary in the national interest,

There is hereby vested in the Attorney General of the United States the property described above, to be held, used, administered, liquidated, sold or otherwise dealt with in the interest of and for the benefit of the United States.

The terms "national" and "designated enemy country" as used herein shall have the meanings prescribed in section 10 of Executive Order 9193, as amended.

Executed at Washington, D. C., on June 9, 1950.

For the Attorney General.

[SEAL] HAROLD I. BAYNTON,
Acting Director,
Office of Alien Property.

[F. R. Doc. 50-5343; Filed, June 20, 1950;
8:51 a. m.]

[Vesting Order 14740]

WALTER B. WIEDE

In re: Estate of Walter B. Wiede, also known as Fritz Eduard Walter Wiede, deceased. File No. D-28-12842; E. T. sec. 17008.

Under the authority of the Trading With the Enemy Act, as amended, Executive Order 9193, as amended, and Executive Order 9788, and pursuant to law, after investigation, it is hereby found:

1. That the domiciliary personal representatives, heirs, next of kin, legatees and distributees, names unknown, of Walter B. Wiede, also known as Fritz Eduard Walter Wiede, deceased, who there is reasonable cause to believe are residents of Germany, are nationals of a designated enemy country (Germany);

2. That all right, title, interest and claim of any kind or character whatsoever of the persons identified in subparagraph 1 hereof, and each of them, in and to the Estate of Walter B. Wiede, also known as Fritz Eduard Walter Wiede, deceased, is property payable or deliverable to, or claimed by, the aforesaid nationals of a designated enemy country (Germany);

3. That such property is in the process of administration by Walter H. Horst, as ancillary administrator, acting under the judicial supervision of the Probate Court of Hamilton County, Ohio;

and it is hereby determined:

4. That to the extent that the domiciliary personal representatives, heirs, next of kin, legatees and distributees, names unknown, of Walter B. Wiede, also known as Fritz Eduard Walter Wiede, deceased, are not within a design-

nated enemy country, the national interest of the United States requires that such persons be treated as nationals of a designated enemy country (Germany).

All determinations and all action required by law, including appropriate consultation and certification, having been made and taken, and, it being deemed necessary in the national interest,

There is hereby vested in the Attorney General of the United States the property described above, to be held, used, administered, liquidated, sold or otherwise dealt with in the interest of and for the benefit of the United States.

The terms "national" and "designated enemy country" as used herein shall have the meanings prescribed in section 10 of Executive Order 9193, as amended.

Executed at Washington, D. C., on June 9, 1950.

For the Attorney General.

[SEAL] HAROLD I. BAYNTON,
Acting Director,
Office of Alien Property.

[F. R. Doc. 50-5344; Filed, June 20, 1950;
8:51 a. m.]

[Vesting Order 14725]

CHARLOTTE AMALIE KURTH

In re: Bank account, and securities owned by Charlotte Amalie Kurth. F-28-11778-A-1.

Under the authority of the Trading With the Enemy Act, as amended, Executive Order 9193, as amended, and Executive Order 9788, and pursuant to law, after investigation, it is hereby found:

1. That Charlotte Amalie Kurth, whose last known address is 32 Gertrudenstrasse, Bremen, Germany, is a resident of Germany and a national of a designated enemy country (Germany);

2. That the property described as follows:

a. One half ($\frac{1}{2}$) interest in that certain debt or other obligation of City National Bank and Trust Company of Chicago, 208 South La Salle Street, Chicago 90, Illinois, arising out of a Savings Account, Account Number 87886, entitled Johanna Kurth, and any and all rights to demand, enforce and collect the same.

b. One half ($\frac{1}{2}$) interest in that certain debt or other obligation of Illinois

Timber Co., evidenced by a note, in the face value of \$559.31, due July 1, 1945, issued by Illinois Timber Co., payable to Johann Kurth, and presently in the custody of City National Bank and Trust Company of Chicago, 208 South La Salle Street, Chicago 90, Illinois, and any and all rights to demand, enforce and collect said one half ($\frac{1}{2}$) of the aforesaid debt or other obligation and any and all accruals thereto, together with the right to possession of the aforesaid note, and

c. One half ($\frac{1}{2}$) interest in those certain shares of stock described in Exhibit A, attached hereto and by reference made a part hereof, registered in the names of the persons set forth in Exhibit A, and presently in the custody of City National Bank and Trust Company of Chicago, 208 South La Salle Street, Chicago 90, Illinois, together with all declared and unpaid dividends thereon,

is property within the United States owned or controlled by, payable or deliverable to, held on behalf of or on account of, or owing to, or which is evidence of ownership or control by, Charlotte Amalie Kurth, the aforesaid national of a designated enemy country (Germany);

and it is hereby determined:

3. That to the extent that the person named in subparagraph 1 hereof is not within a designated enemy country, the national interest of the United States requires that such person be treated as a national of a designated enemy country (Germany).

All determinations and all action required by law, including appropriate consultation and certification, having been made and taken, and, it being deemed necessary in the national interest,

There is hereby vested in the Attorney General of the United States the property described above, to be held, used, administered, liquidated, sold or otherwise dealt with in the interest of and for the benefit of the United States.

The terms "national" and "designated enemy country" as used herein shall have the meanings prescribed in section 10 of Executive Order 9193, as amended.

Executed at Washington, D. C., on June 1, 1950.

For the Attorney General.

[SEAL] HAROLD I. BAYNTON,
Acting Director,
Office of Alien Property.

EXHIBIT A

Name of issuing corporation	Certificate Nos.	Number of shares	Type of stock	Registered owner
Central Coal Co.	127	40	Capital	Johanne Kurth.
Louisiana Consolidated Mining Co.		60	do	Central Trust Co. of Illinois Trustee.
Powell County Land Co.	24	20	do	Central Trust Co. of Illinois Trustee.

[F. R. Doc. 50-5341; Filed, June 20, 1950; 8:51 a. m.]